

Available online at www.sciencedirect.com

Tetrahedron 60 (2004) 2475–2488

Tetrahedron

Total synthesis of a tetra- and two pentasaccharide fragments of the O-specific polysaccharide of Shigella flexneri serotype $2a^{\dot{\alpha}}$

Laurence A. Mulard* and Catherine Guerreiro

Unité de Chimie Organique, URA CNRS 2128, Institut Pasteur, 28 rue du Dr Roux, 75 724 Paris Cedex 15, France

Received 15 October 2003; revised 16 January 2004; accepted 20 January 2004

Abstract—The synthesis of the methyl glycoside of the branched pentasaccharide biological repeating unit of the O-antigen of Shigella flexneri serotype 2a is described together with that of the methyl glycoside of the corresponding tetrasaccharide and frame-shifted linear pentasaccharide. All the strategies disclosed herein involve a key disaccharide corresponding to the branching point and otherwise appropriate monosaccharide building blocks activated as their trichloroacetimidate. Our data suggest partial lack of conformational flexibility at the branched residue.

 $© 2004$ Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

Shigellosis, also known as bacillary dysentery, is a major enteric disease which accounts for some 165 million annual episodes, among which 1.1 million deaths, occurring mostly in developing countries.[12](#page-13-0) Young children and immunocompromised individuals are the main victims. Some 15 years ago, vaccination was defined as a priority by the WHO in its program on enteric diseases. However, there is still no license vaccine against this bacterial infection although intensive research is ongoing in the field.^{[11](#page-12-0)} Shigellae are Gram negative bacteria. As for other bacterial pathogens, their lipopolysaccharide (LPS) is an important virulence factor. It is also a major target of the host's protective immunity against infection.

Shigella flexneri 2a is the prevalent serotype in developing countries, where it is responsible for the endemic form of the disease.[12](#page-13-0) Based on the early hypothesis that a critical level of serum IgG antibodies specific for the O-specific polysaccharide (O-SP) moiety of the LPS was sufficient to

Allowing a better control of the various structural parameters possibly involved in the immunogenicity of glycoconjugate vaccines, oligosaccharide-protein conjugates were proposed as alternatives to polysaccharide– protein conjugate vaccines against bacteria.[24](#page-13-0) Indeed, such constructs were found immunogenic on several occasions, including examples whereby the oligosaccharide portion was made of one repeating unit only.^{[5,18](#page-12-0)} We reasoned that glycoconjugates incorporating chemically synthesized oligosaccharides, appropriately selected for their ability to mimic the native O-SP in terms of both antigenicity and solution conformation, may offer an alternative to the S. flexneri 2a O-SP-protein conjugates currently under study. Our approach relies on a rational basis. Indeed, in order to select the best oligosaccharide mimic, we have undertaken the characterization of the antigenic determinants of S. flexneri 2a O-SP recognized by serotype-specific protective monoclonal antibodies. A panel of methyl glycosides representative of fragments of S. flexneri 2a O-SP was thus synthesized to be used as probes in the study of antibody recognition.

2) α L-Rhap-(1->2)- α -L-Rhap-(1->3)-[α -D-Glcp-(1->4)]- α -L-Rhap-(1->3)- β -D-GlcNAcp(1->

 \mathbf{I}

confer protection against homologous infections, $26,27$ several S. *flexneri* 2a O-SP-protein conjugates were prepared. They were found safe and immunogenic in both adults and children.^{[2,22](#page-12-0)}

The O-SP of S. flexneri 2a is a heteropolysaccharide defined by the pentasaccharide repeating unit I. [15,29](#page-13-0) It features a linear tetrasaccharide backbone, which is common to all S. flexneri O-antigens and comprises a N-acetyl

 $*$ See Ref. [1](#page-12-0).

Keywords: Carbohydrates; Glycosylation; Shigella flexneri; Lipopolysaccharide.

^{*} Corresponding author. Tel.: $+33-1-45-68-83-98$; fax: $+33-1-45-68-84-04$; e-mail address: lmulard@pasteur.fr

glucosamine and three rhamnose residues, together with an α -D-glucopyranose residue branched at position 4 of one of the rhamnoses. We have already reported on the synthesis of the methyl glycosides of various fragments of the O-SP, including the known EC disaccharide, $6,16,19$ the ECD^{[19](#page-13-0)} and $B(E)C^{19}$ $B(E)C^{19}$ $B(E)C^{19}$ trisaccharides, the ECDA^{[28](#page-13-0)} and $AB(E)C^9$ $AB(E)C^9$ tetrasaccharides, the $B(E)CDA^{28}$ $B(E)CDA^{28}$ $B(E)CDA^{28}$ and $DAB(E)C^{9}$ $DAB(E)C^{9}$ $DAB(E)C^{9}$ pentasaccharides, the $B'(E')C'DAB(E)C$ octasaccharide^{[3](#page-12-0)} and more recently the $D^{\prime}A^{\prime}B^{\prime}(E^{\prime})C^{\prime}DAB(E)C$ decasaccharide.^{[4](#page-12-0)} However, in order to complete the full set of frame-shifted fragments of the repeating unit, the methyl glycosides of the ECDAB, AB(E)CD pentasaccharides and that of the $B(E)CD$ tetrasaccharide, 1, 2 and 3, respectively, were missing. Their synthesis is reported in the following.

2. Results and discussion

Analysis of the targets shows that all the glycosylation reactions to set up involve 1,2-trans glycosidic linkages except for that at the $E-C$ junction which is 1,2-cis. Consequently, the syntheses described herein rely on key EC disaccharide building blocks as well as on appropriate A, B and D monosaccharide synthons.

2.1. Synthesis of the linear ECDAB-OMe pentasaccharide 1

Earlier findings in the series have demonstrated that the

 $C-D$ linkage was an appropriate disconnection site.^{[3,4,28](#page-13-0)} Consequently, the synthesis of 1 was designed (Scheme 1) based on the glycosylation of the known EC trichloroacetimidate donor 14 ,^{[19](#page-13-0)} obtained in three steps (69%) from the key diol $13²⁸$ $13²⁸$ $13²⁸$ and the DAB trisaccharide acceptor 12. The latter was obtained by the stepwise condensation of known monosaccharide precursors, readily available by selective protection, deprotection and activation sequences. Thus, TMSOTf-catalysed condensation of the rhamnopyranoside acceptor 4^{25} 4^{25} 4^{25} with the trichloroacetimidate donor $5⁷$ $5⁷$ $5⁷$ in diethyl ether to give the fully protected rhamnobioside 6^{23} 6^{23} 6^{23} and subsequent de-O-acetylation gave the **AB** disaccharide acceptor 7^{25} 7^{25} 7^{25} in 91% overall yield, which compares favourably with the previously described preparation using the corresponding $1-O$ -acetyl donor.^{[25](#page-13-0)} Analogously to previous work in a related series,^{[4](#page-12-0)} the known glucosaminyl trichloroacetimidate donor 9, [8](#page-12-0) was chosen as the precursor to residue D. Conventional glycosylation of 7 with 9 was best performed in acetonitrile using tin trifluoromethanesulfonate $(Sn(OTF))_2$ as the catalyst^{[17](#page-13-0)} to give the fully protected trisaccharide 10 in 72% yield (extracted from the ¹ H NMR spectrum). When TMSOTf was used instead of $Sn(OTF)_{2}$, 10 was formed in lower yield (52%) outlining the sensitivity of the tetrachlorophtaloyl group to these stronger conditions, as previously noted.^{[14](#page-13-0)} A three step process including heating [10](#page-12-0) with ethylenediamine in dry ethanol,¹⁰ ensuing N -acetylation with acetic anhydride, and de-O-acetylation under Zemplén conditions, furnished the triol 11 (51% from 7). It

Scheme 1. (a) 6 from 5, 8 from 20, TMSOTf, Et₂O, -35 °C $-$ rt; (b) cat. MeONa, MeOH–CH₂Cl₂, rt; (c) from 7, Sn(OTf)₂, CH₃CN₂ rt; (d) (i) $H_2NCH_2CH_2NH_2$, EtOH, 60 °C, (ii) Ac₂O, EtOH; (iii) MeONa, MeOH–CH₂Cl₂, rt; (e) Me₂C(OMe)₂, PTSA, acetone, rt; (f) see Ref. [19;](#page-13-0) (g) 4 Å molecular sieves, TfOH, CH₂Cl₂, -15 °C \rightarrow rt; (h) 90% aq. TFA, 0 °C; (i) cat. MeONa, MeOH–CH₂Cl₂, rt; (j) H₂, 10% Pd/C, EtOH–AcOH, rt.

was next protected at positions 4_D and 6_D by regioselective introduction of an isopropylidene acetal upon reaction with 2,2-dimethoxypropane under acid-catalysis to give 12 (96%). The latter acetal-protecting group was selected based on data previously obtained when synthesizing shorter fragments in the series which had outlined the interest of using 4,6-O-isopropylidene–glucosaminyl intermediates instead of the more common benzylidene analogues.[19](#page-13-0) Once the two key building blocks were made available, their condensation was performed in dichloromethane in the presence of a catalytic amount of triflic acid to give the fully protected pentasaccharide 15 (84%). Conventional stepwise deprotection involving (i) acidic hydrolysis of the isopropylidene acetal using 90% aq. TFA to give diol 16 (95%), (ii) conversion of the latter into the corresponding tetraol 17 under Zemplén conditions (86%), and (iii) final hydrogenolysis of the benzyl protecting groups, gave the linear pentasaccharide target 1 in 81% yield.

2.2. Synthesis of the AB(E)CD pentasaccharide 2 and of the B(E)CD tetrasaccharide 3

For reasons mentioned above, the glucosaminyl acceptor 18,^{[19](#page-13-0)} protected at its 4 and 6 hydroxyl groups by an isopropylidene acetal was the precursor of choice for residue D (Scheme 2). In the past, introduction of residue **B** at position 3_C was performed on a 2_C -O-benzoylated EC acceptor resulting from the regioselective acidic hydrolysis of the corresponding 2,3-orthoester intermediate.[9,28](#page-12-0) It rapidly occurred to us that opening of the intermediate phenyl orthoester was not compatible with the presence of the $4_D, 6_D$ -O-isopropylidene acetal. For that reason, the trichloroacetimidate donor 19, suitably benzoylated at position 2_C and orthogonally protected by a chloroacetyl group at position 3_C was used as the EC building block instead of the previously used 14. Protection at the 2-OH of the rhamnosyl precursor to residue B was also crucial in the

synthesis of 2. Indeed, most of our previous work in the series relied on the use of the known 2-O-acetyl rhamnopyranosyl donor 5. In the reported syntheses, 9 selective de-O-acetylation at position 2_B in the presence of a 2_C -Obenzoate was best performed by treatment with methanolic $HBF₄·OEt₂$ for 5 days. Clearly, such de-O-acetylation conditions are not compatible with the presence of an isopropylidene acetal on the molecule. To overcome this limitation, the corresponding 2-O-chloroacetyl rhamnopyranosyl trichloroacetimidate 20 was selected as an alternate donor. In theory, the latter could also serve as an appropriate precursor to residue A.

Regioselective conversion of diol 13 into its 2-O-benzoylated counterpart 21 was performed as described ([Scheme](#page-3-0) [3\)](#page-3-0).^{[28](#page-13-0)} Treatment of the latter with chloroacetic anhydride and pyridine gave the orthogonally protected 22 (95%), which was smoothly de-O-allylated to yield the corresponding hemiacetal 23 (91%) by a two-step process, involving (i) iridium (I)-promoted isomerisation^{[21](#page-13-0)} of the allyl glycoside and (ii) subsequent hydrolysis in the presence of iodine.^{[20](#page-13-0)} The selected trichloroacetimidate leaving group was successfully introduced by treatment of 23 with trichloroacetonitrile in the presence of 1,8-diazabicyclo[4.3.0]undec-7-ene (DBU), which resulted in the formation of 19 (84%) together with the recovery of some starting hemiacetal (14%) since partial hydrolysis during column chromatography could not be avoided. TMSOTfmediated glycosylation of donor 19 and acceptor 18 furnished the fully protected ECD trisaccharide (24, 80%), which was readily converted to the required acceptor 25 upon selective deblocking of the chloroacetyl protecting group with thiourea (97%). Following the two-step protocol described above for the preparation of 19, the known allyl rhamnopyranoside 27,^{[33](#page-13-0)} bearing a 2-O-chloroacetyl protecting group, was converted to the hemiacetal 28 (85%) ([Scheme 4](#page-4-0)). Next, treatment of the latter with trichloroacetonitrile and a slight amount of DBU gave at best donor 20

Scheme 3. (a) see Ref. [28](#page-13-0); (b) $(CIAc)_2O$, Pyridine–CH₂Cl₂, 0 °C; (c) (i) $(COD)Ir^+(P(MePh_2)_2)PF_6^-$, THF; (ii) I₂, THF/H₂O, rt; (d) CCl₃CN, DBU, CH₂Cl₂, 0 °C; (e) 4 Å molecular sieves, TMSOTf, CH₂Cl₂, -60 °C \rightarrow rt; (f) thiourea, MeOH–pyridine, 65 °C.

in a yield of 73%. Although the isolated yield of 20 was not better (72%), running the activation step in the presence of $K₂CO₃$ instead of DBU resulted in a more reproducible isolated yield of the activated donor. Glycosylation of the ECD acceptor 25 and the B donor 20 was attempted under various conditions of solvent and catalyst. Whatever the conditions, hardly separable mixtures of compounds were obtained, among which the yield of the target tetrasaccharide reached $45-50\%$. Running the condensation in Et₂O in the presence of TMSOTf as the promoter were the best conditions tested, although the expected tetrasaccharide 29 was often slightly contaminated with glycosylation intermediates such as the silylated 26 or the orthoester 35, as suggested from mass spectroscopy analysis and NMR data. In fact, the nature of the latter was fully ascertained at the next step in the synthesis. Indeed, full recovery of the starting material was observed upon treatment of 35 with thiourea (Fig. 1). On the contrary, treatment of a mixture of the condensation products 29 and supposedly 26 under the same conditions led to the expected tetrasaccharide acceptor 31 and the trisaccharide acceptor 25 (not described). The bB-tetrasaccharide isomer could not be detected at this stage, indicating that the corresponding chloroacetylated β B-anomer was probably not part of the initial mixture. Formation of the starting 25 during the dechloroacetylation step was not unexpected, since loss of a trimethylsilyl group under similar treatment was observed for a model

compound (not described). Although the fluoride analog corresponding to donor 20 has been used successfully in a prior report, 33 the poor yield of 29 may be, in part, associated to the sensitivity of the chloroacetyl group to the glycosylation conditions. Thus, in order to investigate the poor outcome of the condensation reaction, the donor properties of the chloroacetylated 20 were compared to that of the more common acetylated 5. When methyl rhamnopyranoside 4 was condensed with 20 as described for the preparation of 6, the rhamnobioside 8 was isolated in 67% yield. This result tends to suggest that indeed the acetylated 5 is a more powerful donor than 20.

Starting from 20 and 25, the isolated yield of the tetrasaccharide acceptor 31 was 34%, which encouraged us to reconsider the use of 5 as a precursor to residues B and A in the synthesis of 2. Condensation of 5 and 25 in CH_2Cl_2 using TMSOTf as the promoter furnished the corresponding tetrasaccharide 30 (72%). However, even though the yield of 30 was better than that of 29, slight contamination by the silvlated side-product 26 was again apparent, outlining the somewhat poor reactivity of the ECD acceptor. Subsequent treatment of 30 with a 4 mM ethanolic solution of guanidine^{[13](#page-13-0)} resulted, as expected, in selective 2_B -Odeacetylation to give 31 in a satisfactory 83% yield, which outlined the interest of the method. However, previous experience in other closely related series has shown that the selectivity of the method was highly dependent on the nature of the substrate. Nevertheless, the 2-O-acetylated donor 5 was clearly preferred to the chloroacetate analogue 20. Condensation of the tetrasaccharide acceptor 31 and donor 5 in the presence of TMSOTf gave the fully protected pentasaccharide 32 in a yield of 52%. TFA-mediated hydrolysis of the isopropylidene acetal followed by transesterification of the ester groups and subsequent conventional hydrogenolysis of the benzyl ethers finally gave the target pentasaccharide 2 (88%).

Scheme 4. (a) (i) $(COD)Ir^{+}(P(MePh_{2})_{2})PF_{6}^{-}$, THF; (ii) I₂, THF/H₂O, rt; (b) $CCl_{3}CN$, $K_{2}CO_{3}$, $CH_{2}Cl_{2}$, 0 °C; (c) **29** from **20**, **30** from **5**, TMSOTf, Et₂O, -60 °C -9 °C; (d) thiourea, MeOH–pyridine, 65 °C; (e) guanidine, EtOH–CH₂Cl₂, rt; (f) 4 Å molecular sieves, TMSOTf, Et₂O, -60 °C $-$ nt; (g) 50% aq. TFA, CH_2Cl_2 , 0 °C; (h) 0.5% MeONa, MeOH, 55 °C; (i) 10% Pd/C, EtOH–AcOEt, 1 N aq. HCl, rt.

Alternatively, the fully protected tetrasaccharide 30 was converted to the diol 36 by acidic removal of the isopropylidene acetal (85%), and subsequently to the corresponding tetraol 37 upon transesterification (83%). Final hydrogenolysis of the benzyl groups furnished the target tetrasaccharide 3 (71%) (Scheme 5).

Noteworthy, in the case of intermediates 33 and 36, successful sodium methoxide-mediated transesterification of the acyl groups required heating of the reaction mixture.^{[31](#page-13-0)} Isolation of the esters to be cleaved may best explain the phenomenon. Indeed, the above-mentioned procedure may be seen as an alternative to the use of K_2CO_3 in dioxane/methanol^{[30](#page-13-0)} or that of tBuOK in methanol,^{[32](#page-13-0)} which were found appropriate in related cases. Steric hindrance may account for the poor outcome of the condensation of the **ECD** acceptor 25 with the **B** donors 20 and 5. Interestingly, 13C NMR data support this hypothesis. Although no altered signals could be seen in the 13C NMR spectrum of the ECD acceptor 25 or in the 13C NMR spectra of the fully protected precursor 24, significant disturbance of several signals in the 13C NMR spectra of the

tetra- and pentasaccharides were observed repeatedly. At the protected and partially protected stage, major altered signals are those tentatively assigned to $C-3_C$ and $C-4_C$. Besides, signals assigned to $C-2_D$, $C-3_D$ as well as to $C-1_B$ are significantly broader than expected. Loss of conformational flexibility at the C ring is not totally unexpected especially since the carbons involved are those corresponding to the branching points. Of particular interest, however, was the observation that residue **D**, the *N*-acetyl-glucosaminyl residue, was also partially constrained. Full conformational freedom of residue \overline{D} is recovered when the B(E)CD and AB(E)CD oligosaccharides are in their free form. However, this observation does not stand true for residue C since characteristic broad signals for C_3C_2 and C- 4_C as well for C-1_B and C-1_E are still present in the ¹³C NMR spectra of compounds 2 and 3, respectively. Overall, these observations suggest a somewhat compact organisation at the branching point of the B(E)CD structure. It is worth mentioning that none of these disturbed signals are seen in the 13 C NMR spectra of the oligosaccharides corresponding to the linear ECDAB fragment.

Scheme 5. (a) 50% aq. TFA, CH₂Cl₂, 0 °C; (b) 0.1% MeONa, MeOH, 55 °C; (c) 10% Pd/C, EtOH–AcOEt, 1 N aq. HCl, rt.

3. Conclusion

The synthesis of the methyl glycoside (2) of the repeating unit I of the S. flexneri 2a O-SP, together with that of the corresponding frame-shifted pentasaccharide 1 and tetrasaccharide 3 were described. All the methyl glycosides of the di- to pentasaccharides obtained by circular permutation of the monosaccharide residues partaking in the linear backbone of I, and comprising the EC portion, are now available in the laboratory. Their binding to a set of protective monoclonal IgG antibodies will be reported elsewhere.

4. Experimental

4.1. General methods

Melting points were determined in capillary tubes with an electrothermal apparatus and are uncorrected. Optical rotations were measured for CHCl₃ solutions at 25° C, except where indicated otherwise, with a Perkin–Elmer automatic polarimeter, Model 241 MC. TLC on precoated slides of Silica Gel 60 F_{254} (Merck) was performed with solvent mixtures of appropriately adjusted polarity consisting of A, dichloromethane–methanol; B, cyclohexane–ethyl acetate, C, cyclohexane–acetone, D, water–acetonitrile, E, iso-propanol–ammonia–water; F, 0.01 M aq. TFA–acetonitrile. Detection was effected when applicable, with UV light, and/or by charring with orcinol (35 mM) in 4 N aq. H_2SO_4 . Preparative chromatography was performed by elution from columns of Silica Gel 60 (particle size $40-63 \mu m$). RP-HPLC (215 nm) used a Kromasil 5 μ m C18 100 Å 4.6×250 mm analytical column (1 mL min^{-1}) . The NMR spectra were recorded at 20 °C for solution in CDCl3, unless stated otherwise, on a Brucker Avance 400 spectrometer (400 MHz for ¹H, 100 MHz for 13° C). External references: for solutions in CDCl₃, TMS $(0.00$ ppm for both ¹H and ¹³C); for solutions in D₂O, dioxane (67.4 ppm for 13 C) and trimethylsilyl-3-propionic acid sodium salt (0.00 ppm for ¹H). Proton signal assignments were made by first-order analysis of the spectra, as well as analysis of two-dimensional $^1H-^1H$ correlation maps (COSY) and selective TOCSY experiments. Of the two magnetically non-equivalent geminal protons at C-6, the one resonating at lower field is denoted H-6a and the one at higher field is denoted H-6b. The 13C NMR assignments were supported by two-dimensional 13 C $-$ ¹H correlation maps (HETCOR). Interchangeable assignments are marked with an asterisk in the listing of signal assignments. Sugar residues in oligosaccharides are serially lettered according to the lettering of the repeating unit of the O-SP and identified by a subscript in the listing of signal assignments. Low-resolution mass spectra were obtained by either chemical ionisation (CIMS) using $NH₃$ as the ionising gas, by electrospray mass spectrometry (ESMS), or by fast atom bombardment mass spectrometry (FABMS). HRMS were obtained by Matrix Assisted Laser Desorption Ionisation Mass Spectrometry (MALDIMS).

4.1.1. Methyl $(3,4$ -di-O-benzyl-2-O-chloroacetyl- α -Lrhamnopyranosyl)- $(1\rightarrow 2)$ -3,4-di-O-benzyl- α -L-rhamno**pyranoside** (8) . Activated powered $4 \text{ A molecular sieves}$ (200 mg) was added to a solution of alcohol^{[25](#page-13-0)} 4 (60 mg, 167 μ mol) and trichloroacetimidate donor 20 (113 mg, 0.2 mmol) in dry $Et₂O$ (2 mL) and the solution was stirred at rt for 30 min then cooled to -40 °C. TMSOTf (9 μ L, 50μ mol) was added and the mixture was stirred for 1 h at -30 °C, then for 2 h while the bath temperature was coming back to rt. TLC (solvent B, 4:1) showed the presence of a major product less polar than 4. The mixture was neutralized by addition of Et_3N , and filtered on a pad of Celite. Concentration of the filtrate and column chromatography of the residue (solvent B, 4:1) gave 86 mg of $8 \text{ as a colourless}$ oil (67%). $[\alpha]_D$ –13.6 (c 1.0); ¹H NMR δ 7.42–7.32 (m, 20H, Ph), 5.64 (dd, 1H, $J_{1,2}$ =1.9 Hz, $J_{2,3}$ =3.2 Hz, H-2_A), 5.07 (d, 1H, H-1_A), $4.98-4.93$ (m, 2H, OCH₂), $4.83-4.61$ (m, 6H, OCH₂), 4.64 (brs, 1H, H-1_B), 4.18 (d, 1H, $J=15.2$ Hz, CH₂Cl), 4.13 (d, 1H, OCH₂Cl), 3.90 (dd, 1H, $J_{3,4}$ =9.3 Hz, H-3_B), 3.89 (m, 1H, partially overlapped, $J_{5,6}$ =6.3 Hz, H-5_A), 3.73 (dq, 1H, $J_{4,5}$ =9.5 Hz, $J_{5,6}$ =6.2 Hz, H-5_B), 3.48 (pt, 1H, $J_{3,4}$ =9.4 Hz, H-4_B), 3.45 (pt, 1H, $J_{3,4} = J_{4,5} = 9.4$ Hz, H-4_A), 3.36 (s, 3H, OCH₃), 1.37 (d, 3H, H-6_A), 1.35 (d, 3H, H-6_B); ¹³C NMR δ 165.5 (C=0), $137.4-126.4$ (Ph), 100.2 (C-1_A), 99.2 (C-1_B), 80.4, 80.3, 80.2 (3C, C-4_A, 4_B, 3_B), 77.9 (C-3_A), 75.8, 75.7 (2C, OCH₂), 74.8 (C-2_B), 72.6, 72.5 (2C, OCH₂), 71.2 (C-2_A), 68.7 $(C-5_A)$, 68.2 $(C-5_B)$, 55.0 (OCH_3) , 41.4 (CH_2Cl) , 18.4 (2C, C-6_A, 6_B). FABMS for C₄₃H₄₉ClNO₁₀ (M, 760.3) m/z 783.3 $[M+Na]^+$. Anal. calcd for $C_{43}H_{49}CINO_{10}$: C, 67.84; H, 6.49%. Found: C, 68.03; H, 7.02.

4.1.2. Methyl $(3.4\text{-di}-O\text{-}benzvl-\alpha\text{-}L\text{-}rhamnoovranosvl)$ - $(1\rightarrow 2)$ -3,4-di-O-benzyl- α -L-rhamnopyranoside (7). Activated powered 4 Å molecular sieves was added to a solution of alcohol 4 (322 mg, 0.90 mmol) and trichloroacetimidate donor^{[7](#page-12-0)} 5 (573 mg, 1.08 mmol) in dry $Et₂O$ (9 mL) and the solution was stirred at rt for 30 min then cooled to -35 °C. TMSOTf (48 μ L, 266 μ mol) was added and the mixture was stirred for 4 h, while the bath temperature was coming back to rt. TLC (solvent B, 23:2) showed that only little starting material remained and the mixture was neutralized by addition of Et₃N, and filtered on a pad of Celite. Concentration of the filtrate and column chromatography of the residue (solvent B, 9:1) gave 647 mg of slightly contaminated 6. The later (626 mg) was dissolved in a mixture of CH_2Cl_2 (2 mL) and MeOH (5 mL), and 1 M methanolic sodium methoxide $(300 \mu L)$ was added. The mixture was stirred overnight, neutralized with Amberlite IR 120 $(H⁺)$, filtered and concentrated. Chromatography of the residue (solvent G, 89:11) gave syrupy $7(554 \text{ mg}, 91\%)$ from 4). Analytical data were as described.[25](#page-13-0)

4.1.3. Methyl (3,4,6-tri-O-acetyl-2-deoxy-2-tetrachlorophtalimido- β -D-glucopyranosyl)- $(1\rightarrow 2)$ - $(3,4$ -di- O -benzyl- α -L-rhamnopyranosyl)-(1-2)-3,4-di-O-benzyl- α -Lrhamnopyranoside (10). A solution of disaccharide 7 $(179 \text{ mg}, 0.26 \text{ mmol})$ and trichloroacetimidate donor^{[8](#page-12-0)} **9** $(436 \text{ mg}, 0.60 \text{ mmol})$ in dry CH₃CN (9 mL) was stirred at rt for 30 min in the presence of activated 4 Å molecular sieves (1.2 g) . Tin(II) trifluoromethanesulfonate [Sn(OTf)₂] (75 mg, 180 μ mol) was added and the mixture was stirred at rt for 4 h, then neutralized with $Et₃N$. Filtration on a pad of Celite, concentration of the filtrate and column chromatography of the residue (solvent B, 87:13) gave 10 (324 mg) as a slightly contaminated white foam (72% as

estimated from the ¹H NMR spectrum). An analytical sample had $[\alpha]_D$ +23.3 (c 1.0); ¹H NMR δ 7.43–7.17 (m, 20H, Ph), 5.92 (d, 1H, J=9.2, 10.5 Hz, H-3_D), 5.24 (d, 1H, J_1 ₂=8.4 Hz, H-1_D), 5.14 (dd, 1H, J=9.7, 9.4 Hz, H-4_D), 5.00 (brs, 1H, H-1_A), 4.79 (d, 1H, J=10.8 Hz, OCH₂), 4.65 $(s, 2H, OCH₂), 4.55$ (d, 1H, $J=11.2$ Hz, OCH₂), 4.53 (brs, 1H, H-1_B), 4.46–4.36 (m, 3H, H-2_D, OCH₂), 4.28 (d, 1H, $J=12.4$ Hz, OCH₂), 4.26 (d, 1H, $J=10.6$ Hz, OCH₂), 4.06 (dd, 1H, $J_{6a,6b}$ =12.5 Hz, $J_{5,6a}$ =6.8 Hz, H-6a_D), 3.91 (brs, 1H, H-2_B), 3.85–3.69 (m, 5H, H-2_A, 3_B, 3_A, 6b_D, 5^{*}A), 3.59 (dq, 1H, $J_{4,5} = 9.4$ Hz, $J_{5,6} = 6.2$ Hz, $H_{5,6} = 5$), 3.40 (m, 1H, H-5_D), 3.27 (s, 3H, OCH₃), 3.18 (m, 2H, H-4_A, 4_B), 2.03, 2.01, 1.94 (3s, 9H, C(O)CH₃), 1.27, 1.25 (2d, 6H, H-6_A, 6_B); $13C$ NMR δ 170.5, 170.4, 170.3, 163.8, 162.6 (5C, C=O), $140.3 - 128.0$ (Ph), 101.1 (C-1_A), 100.0 (C-1_D), 99.8 (C-1_B), 80.7 (2C, C-4_A, 4_B), 79.7 (C-2_A), 78.9 (C-3_B), 78.1 (C-3_A), 76.2 (C-2_B), 75.3, 75.2, 72.7, 71.4 (4C, OCH₂), 71.3 (C-5_D), 70.1 (C-3_D), 68.5 (C-5_A^{*}), 68.4 (C-4_D), 67.4 (C-5^{*}_B), 61.3 $(C-6_D)$, 55.4 $(C-2_D)$, 54.6 (OCH_3) , 20.7, 20.6 (3C, $C(O)CH_3$), 18.0, 17.7 (2C, C-6_A, 6_B). FABMS for $C_{61}H_{63}Cl_4NO_{18}$ (M, 1237.3) m/z 1259.9 [M+Na]⁺. Anal. calcd for $C_{61}H_{63}Cl_4NO_{18}\cdot H_2O$: C, 58.24; H, 5.21; N, 1.11%. Found: C, 58.21; H, 4.91; N, 1.01%.

4.1.4. Methyl (2-acetamido-2-deoxy-b-D-glucopyrano syl)-(1-2)-(3,4-di-O-benzyl- α -L-rhamnopyranosyl)- $(1\rightarrow 2)$ -3,4-di-O-benzyl- α -L-rhamnopyranoside (11). A solution of disaccharide 7 (179 mg, 0.26 mmol) and trichloroacetimidate donor 9 (436 mg, 0.60 mmol) in dry CH3CN (9 mL) was stirred at rt for 30 min in the presence of activated 4 Å molecular sieves (1.2 g) . Tin(II) trifluoromethanesulfonate $[Sn(OTf)_2]$ (75 mg, 180 µmol) was added and the mixture was stirred at rt for 4 h, then neutralized with $Et₃N$. Filtration on a pad of Celite, concentration of the filtrate and column chromatography of the residue (solvent B, 87:13) gave 10 (324 mg) as a slightly contaminated product. The latter was solubilized in dry ethanol (13 mL) and diethylamine (200 μ L, 3.0 mmol) was added and the mixture was stirred overnight at 60° C. The mixture was cooled to rt and acetic anhydride (1.0 mL, 10.6 mmol) was added and the mixture was stirred at this temperature for 2 h. The suspension was filtered and volatiles were evaporated and co-evaporated repeatedly with toluene and cyclohexane. The crude residue was taken up in a minimum of CH_2Cl_2 and MeOH (10 mL). 1 N methanolic sodium methoxide was added until the pH was 10 and the solution was stirred overnight at rt, neutralized with IR 120 $(H⁺)$, filtered and concentrated. Chromatography of the residue (solvent A, 24:1) gave foamy 11 (135 mg, 51% from 7). $[\alpha]_D$ -15.0 (c 1.0); ¹H NMR δ 7.44–7.28 (m, 20H, Ph), 8.88 (brs, 1H, NH_D), 5.28 (brs, 1H, H-1_A), 4.93-4.61 (m, 8H, OCH₂), 4.59 (s, 1H, $J_{1,2}$ =1.3 Hz, H-1_B), 4.41 (d, 1H, $J_{1,2}$ =8.3 Hz, H-1_D), 4.06 (m, 2H, H-2_A, 2_B), 4.00 (dd, 1H, $J_{2,3}$ =3.3 Hz, $J_{3,4}$ =9.4 Hz, H-3_A), 3.86 (dd, 1H, $J_{2,3}$ =2.9 Hz, $J_{3,4}$ =9.4 Hz, H-3_B), 3.79 (dq, 1H, $J_{4,5}$ =9.4 Hz, $J_{5,6}$ =6.2 Hz, $H-5_A^*$, 3.67 (m, 2H, H-5^B, 6a_D), 3.51 (m, 1H, H-2_D), 3.49– 3.38 (m, 6H, H-6b_D, 4_D, 3_D, 4_B, 4_A), 3.31 (s, 3H, OCH₃), 3.29 (m, 1H, H-5_D), 1.55 (s, 3H, C(O)CH₃), 1.35 (d, 6H, H-6_A, 6_B); ¹³C NMR δ 173.6 (C=O), 138.5–127.6 (Ph), 103.2 (C-1_D), 100.2 (C-1_A), 99.9 (C-1_B), 81.3, 80.7 (2C, C-4_A, 4_B), 79.9 (2C, C-3_A, 3_B), 79.0 (C-2_A), 77.2 (C-3_D), 75.8 (C-5_D), 75.7, 75.2, 74.6 (3C, OCH₂), 73.4 (C-2_B), 72.3 (OCH₂), 71.8 (C-4_D), 68.2, 67.7 (2C, C-5_A, 5_B), 62.5

 $(C-6_D)$, 58.9 $(C-2_D)$, 54.6 (OCH₃), 22.3 (C(O)CH₃), 17.9, 17.7 (2C, C-6_A, 6_B). FABMS for C₄₉H₆₁NO₁₄ (M, 887.44) m/z 910.1 [M+Na]⁺. Anal. calcd for C₄₉H₆₁NO₁₄H₂O: C, 64.96; H, 7.01; N, 1.55%. Found: C, 65.19; H, 6.83; N, 1.51%.

4.1.5. Methyl (2-acetamido-2-deoxy-4,6-O-isopropylidene- β -D-glucopyranosyl)-(1-2)-(3,4-di-O-benzyl- α -Lrhamnopyranosyl)- $(1\rightarrow 2)$ -3,4-di-O-benzyl- α -L-rhamnopyranoside (12). 2,2-Dimethoxypropane (4.9 mL, 39.8 mmol) and *para*-toluenesulfonic acid (18 mg, 39.8 mmol) and para-toluenesulfonic acid (18 mg, 95 μ mol) were added to a solution of the triol 11 (964 mg, 1.09 mmol) in acetone (3 mL) and the mixture was stirred at rt for 1 h. $Et₃N$ was added, and volatiles were evaporated. Column chromatography of the residue (solvent A, 99:1) gave the acceptor 12 as a white solid (969 mg, 96%) which could be crystallized from AcOEt/iPr₂O; mp $164-165$ °C $[\alpha]_D$ –25.9 (c 1.0); ¹H NMR δ 7.45–7.31 (m, 20H, Ph), 6.98 (d, 1H, $J_{NH,2}$ =2.4 Hz, NH), 6.37 (brs, 1H, OH), 5.07 (d, 1H, $J_{1,2}$ =1.9 Hz, H-1_A), 4.90 (d, 1H, $J=10.8$ Hz, OCH₂), 4.85 (d, 1H, $J=10.1$ Hz, OCH₂), 4.84 $(d, 1H, J=10.8 \text{ Hz}, \text{OCH}_2)$, 4.76 (d, 1H, OCH₂), 4.69 (d, 1H, OCH₂), 4.68 (s, 2H, OCH₂), 4.65 (d, 1H, OCH₂), 4.61 (d, 1H, $J_{1,2}$ =1.6 Hz, H-1_B), 4.48 (d, 1H, $J_{1,2}$ =8.3 Hz, H-1_D), 4.09 (dd, 1H, H-2_A), 4.01 (dd, 1H, $J_{2,3} = 3.2$ Hz, $J_{3,4}$ =9.4 Hz, H-3_A), 3.91 (dd, 1H, H-2_B), 3.89–3.84 (m, 2H, $J_{5,6}$ =6.3 Hz, $J_{4,5}$ =9.4 Hz, $J_{2',3'}$ =3.3 Hz, $J_{3',4'}$ =9.4 Hz, H-5_A, 3_B), 3.68 (dq, partially overlapped, $J_{5.6}$ =6.2 Hz, $J_{4,5}$ =9.5 Hz, H-5_B), 3.66–3.58 (m, 5H, H-6a_D, 6b_D, 2_D, 3_D, $\overline{4_{D}}$), 3.44 (pt, 1H, H-4_A), 3.41 (pt, 1H, H-4_B), 3.32 (s, 3H, OCH₃), 3.16 (m, 1H, H-5_D), 1.60 (s, 3H, C(O)CH₃), 1.54, 1.48 (2s, 6H, C(CH₃)₂), 1.35 (d, 6H, H-6_A, 6_B); ¹³C NMR δ 173.9 (C=O), $138.8-128.0$ (Ph), 103.7 (C-1_D), 101.3 $(C-1_A)$, 100.3 $(C(CH_3)_2)$, 100.2 $(C-1_B)$, 81.9 $(C-4_A)$, 80.8 $(C-4_B)$, 80.5 $(C-3_A)$, 79.7 $(C-3_B)$, 79.4 $(C-2_A)$, 76.2 (OCH_2) , 76.0 (C-2_B), 75.6, 75.1 (2C, OCH₂), 74.7 (C-4_D), 74.4 $(C-3_D)$, 72.6 (OCH₂), 68.6 (C-5_A), 68.0, 67.9 (2C, C-5_B, 5_D), 62.2 (C-6_D), 60.6 (C-2_D), 55.1 (OCH₃), 29.5 (C(CH₃)₂), 22.7 (C(O)CH₃), 19.4 (C(CH₃)₂), 18.5, 18.2 (2C, C-6_A, 6_B). FAB-MS for $C_{52}H_{65}NO_{14}$ (M, 927.44) m/z 950.1 [M+Na]⁺. Anal. calcd for $C_{52}H_{65}NO_{14}$: C, 67.30; H, 7.06; N, 1.51%. Found: C, 67.12; H, 6.98; N, 1.44%.

4.1.6. Methyl $(2,3,4,6$ -tetra-O-benzyl- α -D-glucopyrano syl)-(1-4)-(2,3-di-O-benzoyl- α -L-rhamnopyranosyl)- $(1\rightarrow 3)$ - $(2$ -acetamido-2-deoxy-4,6-*O*-isopropylidene- β -Dglucopyranosyl)- $(1\rightarrow 2)$ - $(3,4$ -di-O-benzyl- α -L-rhamno $pyranosyl$)- $(1\rightarrow 2)$ -3,4-di- O -benzyl- α -L-rhamnopyranoside (15) . Activated powdered 4 Å molecular sieves were added to a solution of the trisaccharide acceptor 12 (202 mg, 0.22 mmol) and the disaccharide donor 14^{19} 14^{19} 14^{19} (263 mg, 0.25 mmol) in anhydrous CH_2Cl_2 (5 mL) and the suspension was stirred for 30 min at -15 °C. TfOH (7 μ L, 34μ mol) was added and the mixture was stirred for 2 h while the bath temperature was slowly coming back to 10 °C. TLC (solvent D, 49:1) showed that no 12 remained. $Et₃N$ was added and after 30 min, the suspension was filtered through a pad of Celite. Concentration of the filtrate and chromatography of the residue (solvent B, $9:1 \rightarrow 17:5$) gave the fully protected pentasaccharide 15 (330 mg, 84%) as a white foam; $[\alpha]_D + 63.3$ (c 1.0); ¹H NMR δ 8.07-6.96 $(m, 50H, Ph), 5.82$ (d, 1H, $J_{NH,2}=7.4$ Hz, NH), 5.63 (dd, 1H, $J_{2,3}$ =3.5 Hz, $J_{3,4}$ =9.5 Hz, H-3_C), 5.43 (dd, 1H, $J_{1,2}$ =1.6 Hz, H-2_C), 5.09 (brs, 1H, H-1_A), 5.02 (d, 1H, $J_{1,2}$ =3.4 Hz, H-1_E), 4.99 (d, 1H, $J_{1,2} = 8.3$ Hz, H-1_D), 4.95 (d, 1H, $J_{1,2}$ =1.1 Hz, H-1_C), 4.94–4.63 (m, 13H, OCH₂), 4.63 (s, 1H, H-1_B), 4.37 (d, 1H, J=11.0 Hz, OCH₂), 4.29 (dq, 1H, J_4 , $=$ 9.5 Hz, J_5 ₆ $=$ 6.2 Hz, H-5_C), 4.25 (d, 1H, J=9.5 Hz, OCH₂), 4.23 (pt, 1H, $J_{3.4} = J_{4.5} = 9.5$ Hz, H-3_D), 4.01 (m, 1H, H-2_A), 3.97–3.86 (m, 5H, H-3_A, 2_B, 3_E, 4_C, OCH₂), 3.82 (m, 1H, H-3_B, 5_A), 3.71–3.57 (m, 6H, H-5_D, 4_E, 5_B, 4_D, 6a_D, 6b_D), 3.54–3.41 (m, 3H, H-2_E, 4_A, 2_D) 3.38–3.31 (m, 2H, $H-4_B$, 6a_E), 3.31 (s, 3H, OCH₃), 3.17 (m, 1H, H-5_D), 3.08 (d, 1H, $J_{6a,6b}$ =10.1 Hz, H-6b_E), 1.84 (s, 3H, C(O)CH₃), 1.46 (s, $3H, C(CH_3)_2$, 1.45 (d, $3H, J_{5.6} = 5.9$ Hz, $H-6_C$), 1.35 (m, 6H, $J_{5,6}$ =5.9 Hz, H-6_A, C(CH₃)₂), 1.31 (d, 3H, $J_{5,6}$ =6.2 Hz, H-6_B); ¹³C NMR δ 171.7, 165.9, 165.8 (3C, C=O), 138.9– 127.9 (Ph), 102.3 (C-1_D, J=167 Hz), 101.5 (C-1_A, $J=170$ Hz), 100.3 (C-1_B, $J=170$ Hz), 99.8 (C(CH₃)₂), 99.6 (C-1_E, J=172 Hz), 98.2 (C-1_C, J=172 Hz), 82.0 $(C-3_E)$, 81.2, 80.9, 80.7 (3C, C-4_A, 4_B, 2_E), 80.0, 79.7, 79.3 (3C, C-3_B, 3_A, 4_C), 78.1, 77.8, 77.4 (3C, C-2_A, 4_E, 3_D), 75.9, 75.8, 75.6 (3C, OCH2), 75.5 (C-2B), 75.0, 74.4, 73.7 (3C, OCH₂), 73.2 (2C, C-4_D, OCH₂), 72.2 (OCH₂), 71.7, 71.6 (3C, C-2_C, 3_C, 5_E), 68.8 (C-5_B), 68.0 (C-6_E), 68.0 (2C, C-5_A, 5_B), 67.6 (C-5_D), 62.5 (C-6_D), 58.9 (C-2_D), 55.0 $(CCH₃), 29.5 (C(CH₃)₂), 23.8 (C(O)CH₃), 19.8 (C(CH₃)₂),$ 18.6 (C-6_C), 18.5 (C-6_A), 18.3 (C-6_B). FAB-MS for $C_{106}H_{117}NO_{25}$ (M, 1803.79) m/z 1826.4 [M+H]⁺. Anal. calcd for $C_{106}H_{117}NO_{25}·H_2O$: C, 69.83; H, 6.58; N, 0.77%. Found: C, 69.86; H, 6.33; N, 0.71%.

4.1.7. Methyl $(2,3,4,6$ -tetra-O-benzyl- α -D-glucopyrano syl)-(1-4)-(2,3-di-O-benzoyl- α -L-rhamnopyranosyl)- $(1\rightarrow 3)$ - $(2$ -acetamido-2-deoxy- β -D-glucopyranosyl)- $(1\rightarrow 2)$ -(3,4-di-O-benzyl- α -L-rhamnopyranosyl)-(1 \rightarrow 2)- $3,4$ -di-O-benzyl- α -L-rhamnopyranoside (16). Aq. TFA (750 μ L) was added at 0 °C to a solution of the fully protected 15 (588 mg, 326 μ mol) in CH₂Cl₂ (6.7 mL) and the mixture was stirred at this temperature for 1 h TLC (solvent B , 1.5:1) showed that no 15 remained. Volatiles were evaporated by repeated addition of toluene. Chromatography of the residue (solvent B, 4:1 \rightarrow 1:1) gave 16 $(544 \text{ mg}, 95\%)$ as a white foam; $[\alpha]_{D}$ +58.8 (c 1.0); ¹H NMR δ 8.06–7.06 (m, 50H, Ph), 5.82 (d, 1H, $J_{NH,2}$ =7.1 Hz, NH), 5.65 (dd, 1H, $J_{2,3}$ =3.8 Hz, $J_{3,4}$ =9.0 Hz, H-3_C), 5.53 $(m, 1H, H-2_C)$, 5.34 (brs, 1H, $H-1_A$), 5.04 (d, 1H, $J_{1,2}$ =8.3 Hz, H-1_D), 5.00 (m, 2H, H-1_C, 1_E), 4.97–4.63 $(m, 13H, OCH₂)$, 4.48 (brs, 1H, H-1_B), 4.40 (d, 1H, $J=8.4$ Hz, OCH₂), 4.29 (d, 1H, $J=8.0$ Hz, OCH₂), 4.28– 4.21 (m, 2H, H-3_D, 5_C), 4.10 (m, 1H, H-2_B), 4.04 (m, 1H, H-2_A), 3.99 (d, 1H, OCH₂), 3.95–3.89 (m, 3H, H-3_A, 3_E, 4_C), 3.87 (dd, 1H, $J_{2,3}$ =2.7 Hz, $J_{3,4}$ =9.7 Hz, H-3_B), 3.81– 3.64 (m, 5H, H-5_E, 5_A, 6a_D, 4_E, 5_B), 3.54 (dd, 1H, $J_{1,2}$ =3.2 Hz, $J_{2,3}$ =9.7 Hz, H-2_E), 3.51 (pt, 1H, $J_{3,4}$ = $J_{4,5}$ =9.5 Hz, H-4_A), 3.45–3.37 (m, 4H, H-4_B, 4_D, 6a_E, 2_D), 3.33 (m, 5H, H-5_D, 6b_D, OCH₃), 3.12 (d, 1H, $J_{6a,6b}$ = 10.6 Hz, H-6b_E), 2.28 (brs, 1H, OH), 1.97 (brs, 1H, OH), 1.84 (s, 3H, C(O)CH₃), 1.54 (d, 3H, $J_{5.6}$ =6.1 Hz, H-6_C), 1.37 (m, 6H, H-6_B, 6_A); ¹³C NMR δ 171.5, 165.8, 165.6 (3C, C=O), 138.8–127.9 (Ph), 101.6 (C-1_D), 100.8 (C-1_A), 100.5 (C-1_B), 100.1 (C-1_E), 99.9 (C-1_C), 84.9 (C-3_D), 82.1 (C-3_E), 80.9, 80.7, 80.6, 80.5 (4C, C-4_B, 3_B, 4_A, 2_E), 79.7 $(C-4_C)$, 79.3 $(C-3_A)$, 77.8 $(2C, C-2_A, 4_E)$, 76.0, 75.9 $(2C,$ OCH₂), 75.8 (C-5_D), 75.6, 75.1, 74.6, 73.7, 73.1 (5C, OCH₂), 72.8 (C-2_B), 72.6 (OCH₂), 71.8 (C-5_E), 71.6 (C-4_D), 71.3 (C-3_C), 71.1 (C-2_C), 69.4 (C-5_C), 68.8 (C-5_A), 68.3 $(C-5_B)$, 68.1 $(C-6_E)$, 63.0 $(C-6_D)$, 57.6 $(C-2_D)$, 55.0 (OCH_3) , 23.8 (C(O)CH₃), 18.8 (C-6_C), 18.6, 18.5 (2C, C-6_A, 6_B). FAB-MS for C₁₀₃H₁₁₃NO₂₅ (M, 1763.76) m/z 1786.2 [M+H]⁺. Anal. calcd for C₁₀₃H₁₁₃NO₂₅·2H₂O: C, 68.69; H, 6.55; N, 0.78%. Found: C, 68.74; H, 6.45; N, 0.65%.

4.1.8. Methyl (2,3,4,6-tetra-O-benzyl-a-D-glucopyranosyl)-(1-4)- α -L-rhamnopyranosyl-(1-3)-(2-acetamido- $2-deoxy-B-D-glucopy ranosyl)-(1\rightarrow 2)-(3,4-di-O-benzyl-\alpha-$ L-rhamnopyranosyl)- $(1\rightarrow 2)$ -3,4-di-O-benzyl- α -L-rhamnopyranoside (17). 1 M Methanolic sodium methoxide was added to a solution of 16 (277 mg, 157 μ mol) in a 1:1 mixture of CH_2Cl_2 and MeOH (6 mL) until the pH was 10. The mixture was stirred overnight at rt and neutralized with Amberlite IR-120 (H^+). The crude material was chromatographed (solvent A, 49:1) to give 17 (211 mg, 86%) as a white foam; $[\alpha]_D$ +23.8 (c 1.0); ¹H NMR δ 7.33–7.16 (m, 40H, Ph), 5.34 (d, 1H, $J_{NH,2}$ =7.6 Hz, NH), 5.18 (brs, 1H, H-1_A), 4.79 (d, partially overlapped, 1H, H-1_E), 4.67 (brs, 1H, H-1_C), 4.50 (d, partially overlapped, 1H, H-1_D), 4.49 (brs, 1H, H-1_B), 4.88–4.33 (m, 16H, OCH₂), 3.98–3.81 (m, 6H, H-2_A, 2_B, 5_E, 3_A, 3_E, 5_B^{*}), 3.77–3.70 (m, 3H, H-3_B, 2_C, $(5\text{e}),$ 3.65 (dq, 1H, $J_{4,5}$ =9.4 Hz, $J_{5,6}$ =6.2 Hz, H-5^{*}_A), 3.62– 3.51 (m, 4H, H-2_D, 6a_D, 6a_E, 6b_E), 3.48–3.27 (m, 7H, H-2_E, 4_E , 3_D, 4_A, 4_B, 3_C, 4_C), 3.23–3.12 (m, 6H, H-4_D, 6b_D, 5_D, OCH3), 2.76 (brs, 1H, OH), 1.72 (brs, 3H, OH), 1.65 (s, 3H, NHAc), 1.32, 1.25 (2d, 9H, H-6_C, 6_B, 6_A); ¹³C NMR δ 170.6 $(C=0)$, 138.5–128.0 (Ph), 103.0 $(C-1_D)$, 101.8 $(C-1_C)$, 100.7 (C-1_A), 100.4 (C-1_B), 99.6 (C-1_E), 87.3 (C-3_D), 85.0 $(C-4^*_{\rm C})$, 82.0 $(C-3_{\rm E})$, 81.2, 80.7, 80.5, 80.2, 79.7, 78.1, 77.9 (7C, C-2_B, 3_A, 3_B, 4_A, 4_B, 2_E, 4_E), 76.2 (C-5_D), 76.1, 75.9, 75.6, 75.4, 74.0, 73.9, 73.6 (7C, OCH₂), 73.0 (C-2_A), 72.8 (OCH₂), 71.7, 71.2, 71.1, 69.8 (4C, C-4_D, 5_E, 2_C, 3_C), 68.8, 68.2 (3C, C-5_A, 5_B, 5_C), 63.1 (C-6_D), 55.6 (C-2_D), 55.0 (OCH₃), 23.7 (C(O)CH₃), 18.6, 18.3, 18.1 (3C, C-6_A, 6_B, 6_C). FAB-MS for C₈₉H₁₀₅NO₂₃ (M, 1555.71) m/z 1578.2 $[M+H]^+$. Anal. calcd for C₈₉H₁₀₅NO₂₃: C, 68.66; H, 6.80; N, 0.90%. Found: C, 68.41; H, 6.78; N, 0.61%.

4.1.9. Methyl α -D-glucopyranosyl- $(1\rightarrow 4)$ - α -L-rhamnopyranosyl-(1→3)-2-acetamido-2-deoxy-β-D-glucopyra $nosyl-(1\rightarrow 2)-\alpha$ -L-rhamnopyranosyl- $(1\rightarrow 2)-\alpha$ -L-rhamnopyranoside (1). The benzylated tetrasaccharide 17 (352 mg, 226 μ mol) was dissolved in a mixture of ethanol (14 mL) and AcOH (1 mL), treated with 10% Pd–C catalyst (200 mg), and the suspension was stirred for 5 days at rt. TLC (solvent A, 1:1) showed that the starting material had been transformed into a more polar product. The suspension was filtered on a pad of Celite. The filtrate was concentrated and co-evaporated repeatedly with cyclohexane. Reverse phase chromatography of the residue (solvent D, 100:0 \rightarrow 49:1), followed by freeze-drying, gave the target tetrasaccharide 1 as an amorphous powder (153 mg, 81%). RP-HPLC gave a single product eluting at rt: 15.21 min (solvent F, 1:0 \rightarrow 80:20 over 20 min); [α]_D -3.2 (c 1.0, methanol); ¹H NMR (D₂O) δ 5.08 (d, 1H, $J_{1,2}$ =1.2 Hz, H-1_A), 4.97 (d, 1H, $J_{1,2}$ =3.9 Hz, H-1_E), 4.79 (d, 1H, $J_{1,2}$ =1.3 Hz, H-1_C), 4.69 (m, 2H, H-1_B, 1_D), 4.07 (dd, 1H, $J_{2,3}$ =3.3 Hz, H-2_A), 4.02 (dq, 1H, $J_{4,5}$ =9.3 Hz, $J_{5,6}$ =6.2 Hz, $H-5_C$), 3.93 (m, 1H, $H-5_E$), 3.86 (m, 2H, $H-2_B$, 3_A), 3.82– 3.73 (m, 7H, H-3_C, 2_D, 6a_E, 6b_E, 3_B, 2_C, 6a_D), 3.70–3.59 (m, 4H, H-5_A, 3_E, 6b_D, 5_B), 3.56 (pt, 1H, $J_{3,4} = J_{4,5} = 9.4$ Hz,

H-3_D), 3.49 (dd, 1H, $J_{2,3}$ =9.6 Hz, H-2_E), 3.46–3.38 (m, 5H, H-4_C, 4_B, 4_D, 5_D, 4_E), 3.32 (s, 3H, OCH₃), 3.24 (pt, 1H, $J_{3,4} = J_{4,5} = 9.6$ Hz, H-4_A), 2.00 (s, 3H, C(O)CH₃), 1.25 (d, 3H, partially overlapped, H-6_C), 1.23 (d, 3H, partially overlapped, H-6_B), 1.18 (d, 3H, $J_{5.6} = 6.2$ Hz, H-6_A); ¹³C NMR (D₂O) δ 175.0 (C=O), 102.3 (C-1_D, J=162 Hz), 101.5 (C-1_C, J=170 Hz), 101.3 (C-1_A, J=173 Hz), 100.0 $(C-1_E, J=170 \text{ Hz})$, 99.9 $(C-1_B, J=172 \text{ Hz})$, 81.9 $(C-3_D)$, 81.4 (C-4_C), 79.2 (C-2_A), 79.0 (C-2_B), 76.2, 73.1, 72.6, 72.2, 72.0, 71.4, 70.4, 70.0, 69.8, 69.7, 69.6, 69.3, 68.9, 68.7 (14C, 3_A, 4_A, 5_A, 3_B, 4_B, 5_B, 2_C, 3_C, 4_D, 5_D, 2_E, 3_E, 4_E, 5_E), 68.4 (C-5_C), 60.5 (2C, C-6_D, 6_E), 56.0 (C-2_D), 55.3 (OCH₃), 22.6 (C(O)CH₃), 17.0 (3C, C-6_A, 6_B, 6_C). HRMS (MALDI) calcd for $C_{27}H_{47}NO_{19} + Na$: 858.3214. Found: 858.3206.

4.1.10. 3.4-Di-O-benzyl-2-O-chloroacetyl- α/β -L-rhamnopyranose (28). 1,5-Cyclooctadiene-bis(methyldiphenylphosphine)iridium hexafluorophosphate (Ir(I), 25 mg) was dissolved in dry THF (5 mL) and the resulting red solution was degassed in an argon stream. Hydrogen was then bubbled through the solution, causing the colour to change to yellow. The solution was then degassed again in an argon stream. A solution of rhamnopyranoside^{[33](#page-13-0)} 27 (3.28 g, 7.12 mmol) in THF (30 mL) was degassed and added. The mixture was stirred overnight at rt, and a solution of iodine $(3.6 \text{ g}, 14.2 \text{ mmol})$ in a mixture of THF (70 mL) and water (20 mL) was added. The mixture was stirred at rt for 1 h, then concentrated. The residue was taken up in CH_2Cl_2 and washed twice with 5% aq. NaHSO₄. The organic phase was dried and concentrated. The residue was purified by column chromatography (solvent B, 9:1) to give 28 (2.53 g, 85%). 1 H NMR δ 7.40–7.28 (m, 10H, Ph), 5.57 (brd, 0.2H, H-2 β), 5.45 (dd, 0.8H, $J_{1,2}$ =2.0 Hz, H-2 α), 5.13 (brd, 0.8H, H-1 α), 4.92 (d, 1H, $J=10.9$ Hz, OCH₂ α , OCH₂ β), 4.79 (d, 0.2H, $J=11.2$ Hz, OCH₂ β), 4.74 (d, 1H, $J=11.2$ Hz, OCH₂ α , H-1 β), 4.65 (d, 0.8H, OCH₂ α), 4.64 (d, 0.2H, OCH₂ β), 4.58 (d, 0.8H, OCH₂ α), 4.54 (d, 0.2H, OCH₂ β), 4.30 (d, 0.2H, $J=15.1$ Hz, CH₂Cl_B), 4.26 (d, 0.2H, CH₂Cl_B), 4.20 (s, 1.6H, CH₂Cl α), 4.08 (dd, 0.8H, $J_{2,3}$ =3.3 Hz, $J_{3,4}$ =9.6 Hz, H-3 α), 4.04 (dq, 0.8H, $J_{4,5}$ =9.5 Hz, H-5 α), 3.66 (dd, 0.2H, $J_{2,3}$ =3.2 Hz, $J_{3,4}$ =8.7 Hz, H-3 β), 3.44 (pt, 2H, H-4 α , 5 β , OH-1 α , 1 β), 3.38 (pt, 0.2H, $J_{4,5}$ =9.5 Hz, H-4 β), 1.37 (d, 0.6H, $J_{5,6}$ =5.7 Hz, H-6 β), 1.34 (d, 2.4H, $J_{5,6}$ =6.2 Hz, H-6α); ¹³C NMR δ 167.8 (C=Oβ), 167.4 (C=Oα), 138.6– 128.2 (Ph), 93.0 (C-1 β), 92.4 (C-1 α), 80.3 (C-4 α), 80.2 $(C-3\beta)$, 79.6 $(C-4\beta)$, 77.8 $(C-3\alpha)$, 75.9 $(OCH_2\beta)$, 75.8 $(OCH₂\alpha)$, 72.5 $(OCH₂\alpha)$, 72.3 $(0.4C, C-5\beta, OCH₂\beta)$, 71.9 $(C-2-\beta)$, 71.7 $(C-2\alpha)$, 68.2 $(C-5\alpha)$, 41.3 $(CH_2Cl\alpha, CH_2Cl\beta)$, 18.3 (C-6 α , 6 β); FAB-MS for C₂₂H₂₅ClO₆ (M, 420.5) m/z 443.1 $[M+Na]^+$. Anal. calcd for C₂₂H₂₅ClO₆: C, 62.78; H, 5.94%. Found: C, 62.92; H, 6.11%.

4.1.11. 3,4-Di-O-benzyl-2-O-chloroacetyl- α/β -L-rhamnopyranosyl trichloroacetimidate (20). (a) The hemiacetal 28 (700 mg, 1.66 mmol) was dissolved in CH_2Cl_2 (6 mL) and the solution was cooled to 0° C. Trichloroacetonitrile (1.7 mL) and DBU $(26 \mu L)$ were added. The mixture was stirred at rt for 2 h. Toluene was added, and co-evaporated twice from the residue. The crude material was purified by flash chromatography (solvent B 4:1+0.1%) Et₃N) to give 20 as a white foam (687 mg, 73%, α/β : 4:1).

(b) The hemiacetal 28 (858 mg, 2.04 mmol) was dissolved

in CH_2Cl_2 (11 mL) and freshly activated K_2CO_3 (1.1 g, 8.0 mmol) was added. The suspension was cooled to $0^{\circ}C$, and trichloroacetonitrile (1.0 mL) was added. The mixture was stirred vigorously at rt for 5 h. The suspension was filtered on a pad of Celite, and concentrated. The crude material was purified by flash chromatography (solvent B, 9:1+0.1% Et₃N) to give 20 as a white foam (840 mg, 72%, α/β : 9:1 from the ¹H NMR spectrum). ¹H NMR (α -anomer) ^d 8.71 (s, 1H, NH), 7.40–7.30 (m, 10H, Ph), 6.24 (d, 1H, J_1 ₂=1.8 Hz, H-1), 5.57 (dd, 1H, H-2), 4.94 (d, 1H, $J=10.8$ Hz, OCH₂), 4.76 (d, 1H, $J=11.2$ Hz, OCH₂), 4.67 $(d, 1H, OCH₂)$, 4.62 (d, 1H, OCH₂), 4.22 (s, 2H, CH₂Cl), 4.04 (dd, 1H, $J_{2,3}$ =3.2 Hz, H-3), 3.99 (dq, 1H, $J_{4,5}$ =9.6 Hz, H-5), 3.53 (pt, 1H, H-4), 1.37 (d, 3H, $J_{5.6}$ =6.2 Hz, H-6); ¹³C NMR (α-anomer) δ 166.9 (C=O), 160.4 (C=NH), 138.4– 128.3 (Ph), 95.2 (C-1), 91.1 (CCl₃), 79.5 (C-4), 77.6 (C-3), 76.1, 72.9 (2C, OCH₂), 71.2 (C-5), 69.8 (C-2), 41.1 (CH_2Cl) , 18.3 $(C-6)$.

4.1.12. Allyl $(2,3,4,6$ -tetra-O-benzyl- α -D-glucopyranosyl)- $(1\rightarrow 4)$ -2-O-benzoyl-3-O-chloroacetyl- α -L-rhamno**pyranoside (22).** To a solution of the known 21^{28} 21^{28} 21^{28} (7.10 g, 8.55 mmol) in a mixture of CH_2Cl_2 (40 mL) and pyridine (5 mL) at 0° C was added chloroacetic anhydride (3.65 g, 21.3 mmol), and the mixture was stirred at this temperature for 2 h. TLC (solvent C, 9:1) showed the complete disappearance of the starting material. MeOH (10 mL) was added, and after 30 min, volatiles were evaporated. Column chromatography (solvent B, 1:0 \rightarrow 4:1) of the crude yellow oil afforded 22 as a colourless foam (7.34 g, 95%). $[\alpha]_D$ +47.5 (c 1.0); ¹H NMR δ 8.12–7.13 (m, 25H, Ph), 5.95 (m, 1H, CH=), 5.50–5.42 (m, 2H, $J_{2,3}$ =3.6 Hz, H-2_C, 3_C), 5.37 (m, 1H, $=CH₂$), 5.28 (m, 1H, $=CH₂$), 4.96 (d, 1H, $J=11.0$ Hz, OCH₂), 4.93 (d, 1H, $J_{1,2}=1.5$ Hz, H-1_C), 4.90 (d, 1H, J_1 ₂=3.3 Hz, H-1_E), 4.87–4.81 (m, 3H, OCH₂), 4.67 $(d, 1H, J=12.1 \text{ Hz}, OCH₂), 4.64 (d, 1H, J=12.8 \text{ Hz}, OCH₂),$ 4.47 (d, 1H, $J=10.8$ Hz, OCH₂), 4.43 (d, 1H, $J=12.0$ Hz, OCH₂), 4.25 (m, 2H, OCH₂), 4.09 (d, 1H, $J=15.5$ Hz, CH₂Cl), 3.99–3.93 (m, 3H, CH₂Cl, H-5_C, 3_C), 3.84 (m, 1H, H-5_E), $3.78-3.74$ (m, 2H, H-6a_E, 4_E), 3.70 (pt, 1H, $J_{4.5}$ = $J_{3.4}$ =9.3 Hz, H-4_C), 3.58–3.54 (m, 2H, H-6b_E, 2_E), 1.50 (d, 3H, $J_{5,6}$ =6.2 Hz, H-6_C); ¹³C NMR δ 167.0, 166.0 $(2C, C=0)$, 139.1–128.0 (Ph, All), 118.5 (All), 99.5 $(C-1_E), 96.8 (C-1_C), 81.9 (C-3_E), 81.0 (C-2_E), 79.7 (C-4_C),$ 77.7 (C-4_E), 76.0, 75.4, 74.1, 73.8 (4C, OCH₂), 73.5 (C-3_C), 71.8 (C-5_E), 70.9 (C-2_C), 68.8 (OCH₂), 68.1 (C-6_E), 67.7 $(C-5_C)$, 41.5 (CH₂Cl), 18.6 (C-6_C); FAB-MS for C₅₂H₅₅O₁₂ $(M, 906.5)$ m/z 929.3 $[M+Na]^{+}$. Anal. calcd for $C_{52}H_{55}ClO_{12}$: C, 68.83; H, 6.11%. Found: C, 68.74; H, 6.19%.

4.1.13. $(2,3,4,6-Tetra-O-benzyl-α-D-glucopyranosvl)$ - $(1\rightarrow 4)$ -2-O-benzoyl-3-O-chloroacetyl- α/β -L-rhamnopyranose (23). A solution of 22 (7.21 g, 7.95 mmol) in THF (80 mL) containing activated iridium complex (60 mg) was treated as described for the preparation of 28. The mixture was stirred at rt for 3 h, at which point a solution of iodine (4.0 g, 15.7 mmol) in a mixture of THF (90 mL) and water (24 mL) was added. The mixture was stirred at rt for 30 min, then concentrated. The residue was taken up in CH_2Cl_2 and washed twice with 5% aq. NaHSO₄, then with brine. The organic phase was dried and concentrated. The residue was purified by column chromatography (solvent B , 4:1) to give

23 (6.7 g, 97%) as a slightly yellow foam. ¹H NMR δ 8.10– 7.09 (m, 25H, Ph), 5.47 (dd, 1H, J_2 ₃=3.5 Hz, J_3 ₄=9.3 Hz, H-3_C), 5.41 (brs, 1H, H-2_C), 5.03 (brs, 1H, H-1_C), 4.94 (d, 1H, $J=10.9$ Hz, OCH₂), 4.87 (d, 1H, J_1 ₂=3.4 Hz, H-1_E), 4.85 (d, 1H, OCH₂), 4.80 (m, 2H, OCH₂), 4.64 (m, 2H, OCH₂), 4.45 (d, 1H, $J=10.7$ Hz, OCH₂), 4.41 (d, 1H, $J=12.1$ Hz, OCH₂), 4.16 (dq, 1H, $J_{4.5}=9.3$ Hz, H-5_C), 4.09 (d, 1H, $J=15.6$ Hz, CH₂Cl), 3.96 (d, 1H, CH₂Cl), 3.93 (pt, 1H, H-3_E), 3.83 (m, 1H, H-5_E), 3.77-3.68 (m, 2H, H-4_E, 6a_E), 3.65 (pt, 1H, H-4_C), 3.54 (m, 2H, H-6b_E, 2_E), 1.48 (d, 3H, $J_{5,6}$ =6.2 Hz, H-6_C); ¹³C NMR δ 167.0, 166.0 (2C, C=O), 139.1–127.9 (Ph), 99.5 (C-1_E), 92.3 (C-1_C), 81.9 $(C-3_E)$, 81.0 $(C-2_E)$, 79.9 $(C-4_C)$, 77.6 $(C-4_E)$, 76.0, 75.6, 74.2, 74.1 (4C, OCH₂), 72.1 (C-3_C), 71.7 (C-4_E), 71.1 $(C-2_C)$, 68.0 $(C-6_E)$, 67.5 $(C-5_C)$, 41.5 $(CH₂Cl)$, 18.9 $(C-6_C)$; FAB-MS for $C_{49}H_{51}ClO_{12}$ (M, 866.3) m/z 889.3 [M+Na]⁺. Anal. calcd for $C_{49}H_{51}ClO_{12}$: C, 67.85; H, 5.93%. Found: C, 67.72; H, 6.00%.

4.1.14. $(2,3,4,6-Tetra-O-benzyl-α-D-glucopy ranosyl)$ - $(1\rightarrow4)$ -2-O-benzoyl-3-O-chloroacetyl- α -L-rhamnopyranosyl trichloroacetimidate (19). Trichloroacetonitrile (1.1 mL, 10.9 mmol) and DBU (17 μ L) were added to a solution of the hemiacetal 23 (950 mg, 1.09 mmol) in dry CH_2Cl_2 (8 mL), and the mixture was stirred at 0 °C for 1.5 h. Toluene was added, and volatiles were evaporated. The residue was purified by flash chromatography (solvent B, 3:2 containing 0.1% Et₃N) to give **19** (930 mg, 84%) as a colourless foam. Further elution gave some remaining starting material 23 (136 mg, 14%). $\lbrack \alpha \rbrack_{D} + 39.3$ (c 1.0); ¹H NMR ^d 8.76 (s, 1H, NH), 8.12–7.17 (m, 25H, Ph), 6.34 (d, 1H, $J_{1,2}$ =1.5 Hz, H-1_C), 5.67 (dd, 1H, H-2_C), 5.54 (dd, 1H, $J_{2,3}$ =3.4 Hz, $J_{3,4}$ =8.8 Hz, H-3_C), 4.98 (d, 1H, OCH₂), 4.88 (d, 1H, $J_{1,2}$ =3.4 Hz, H-1_E), 4.84 (d, 1H, J=11.1 Hz, OCH₂), 4.82 (d, 1H, $J=11.2$ Hz, OCH₂), 4.65 (d, 1H, OCH₂), 4.62 $(d, 1H, OCH₂)$, 4.44 $(d, 1H, J=11.4 \text{ Hz}, OCH₂)$, 4.41 $(d, 1H,$ $J=11.8$ Hz, OCH₂), 4.14 (dq, 1H, $J_{4.5}=9.5$ Hz, H-5_C), 4.11 (d, 1H, $J=15.5$ Hz, CH₂Cl), 3.98 (d, 1H, CH₂Cl), 3.94 (pt, 1H, H-3_E), 3.83–3.71 (m, 4H, H-5_E, 6a_E, 4_E, 4_C), 3.56–3.51 (m, 2H, H-6b_E, 2_E), 1.51 (d, 3H, $J_{5,6}$ =6.2 Hz, H-6_C); ¹³C NMR δ 167.1, 165.7, 160.6 (3C, C=), 139.0–127.9 (Ph), 99.9 (C-1_E), 95.2 (C-1_C), 82.1 (C-3_E), 80.9 (C-2_E), 79.0 $(C-4_C)$, 77.6 $(C-4_E)$, 76.0, 75.6, 74.2, 73.8 (4C, OCH₂), 73.0 $(C-3_C)$, 71.9 $(C-5_E)$, 70.7 $(C-5_C)$, 69.2 $(C-2_C)$, 68.0 $(C-6_E)$, 67.7 (C-5_C), 41.4 (CH₂Cl), 18.6 (C-6_C). Anal. calcd for $C_{51}H_{51}Cl_4NO_{12}$: C, 60.54; H, 5.08; N, 1.38%. Found: C, 60.49; H, 5.01; N, 1.34%.

4.1.15. Methyl $(2,3,4,6$ -tetra-O-benzyl- α -D-glucopyranosyl)- $(1\rightarrow 4)$ - $(2-O$ -benzoyl-3-O-chloroacetyl- α -L-rhamnopyranosyl)- $(1\rightarrow 3)$ -2-acetamido-2-deoxy-4,6-O-isopropylidene- β -D-glucopyranoside (24). The acceptor^{[19](#page-13-0)} 18 $(500 \text{ mg}, 1.82 \text{ mmol})$ was dissolved in CH₂Cl₂ (5.5 mL) and 4 A molecular sieves (300 mg) were added. The mixture was cooled to -60° C and stirred for 15 min. TMSOTf (35 μ L, mmol) and a solution of the disaccharide donor 19 $(2.39 \text{ g}, 2.36 \text{ mmol})$ in CH_2Cl_2 (7.5 mL) were added. The mixture was stirred for 45 min while the cooling bath was coming back to rt, and for more 3 h at rt. The mixture was then heated at 65 °C for 1 h 30 min. Et₃N was added and the mixture was stirred at rt for 20 min, then diluted with $CH₂Cl₂$ and filtered through a pad of Celite. The filtrate was concentrated and purified by column chromatography

(solvent B, 85:15 \rightarrow 1:1) to give 24 (1.64 g, 80%) as a white powder. $[\alpha]_D + 55.1$ (c 1.0); ¹H NMR δ 8.06–6.93 (m, 25H, Ph), 6.18 (d, 1H, $J_{NH,2}$ =7.3 Hz, NH_D), 5.40 (dd, 1H, $J_{2,3}$ =3.5 Hz, H-3_C), 5.38 (brs, 1H, H-2_C), 4.98 (d, 1H, $J_{1,2}$ =8.3 Hz, H-1_D), 4.94 (brs, 1H, H-1_C), 4.94 (d, 1H, OCH₂), 4.93 (d, 1H, $J_{1,2}$ =3.4 Hz, H-1_E), 4.83 (d, 2H, $J=10.7$ Hz, OCH₂), 4.81 (d, 1H, $J=10.6$ Hz, OCH₂), 4.67 $(d, 1H, J=11.7 \text{ Hz}, OCH₂), 4.62 (d, 1H, J=11.4 \text{ Hz}, OCH₂),$ 4.47 (m, 3H, H-3_D, OCH₂), 4.22 (dq, 1H, $J_{4,5}$ =9.4 Hz, $J_{5,6}$ =6.2 Hz, H-5_C), 4.10 (d, 1H, J=15.5 Hz, CH₂Cl), 3.96 (m, 2H, H-6a_D, CH₂Cl), 3.91 (pt, 1H, H-3_E), 3.82 (m, 2H, H-5_E, 6b_D), 3.72 (m, 3H, H-6a_E, 4_E, 4_C), 3.62 (pt, 1H, $J_{3,4} = J_{4,5} = 9.4$ Hz, H-4_D), 3.55 (m, 2H, H-6b_E, 2_E), 3.51 (s, $3H, OCH_3$, 3.41 (m, $1H, H-5_D$), 3.15 (m, $1H, H-2_D$), 2.04 $(s, 3H, C(O)CH₃), 1.51 (s, 3H, C(CH₃)₂), 1.42 (m, 6H, H-6_C,$ C(CH₃)₂), 1.51 (d, 3H, $J_{5,6}$ =6.2 Hz, H-6_C); ¹³C NMR δ 171.8, 167.3, 166.1 (3C, C=O), 139.0-128.0 (Ph), 101.1 $(C-1_D, \quad J_{CH} \leq 164 \text{ Hz}),$ 99.9 $(C(CH_3)_2),$ 99.4 $(C-1)_E$, J_{CH} >165 Hz), 98.2 (C-1_C, J_{CH} =172 Hz), 81.8 (C-3_E), 80.9 (C-2_E), 79.0 (C-4^{*}_C), 77.7 (C-4^{*}_E), 76.7 (C-3_D), 75.9, 75.3, 74.2, 73.9 (4C, OCH₂), 73.7 (C-4_D), 73.4 (C-3_C), 71.9 $(C-5_E), 71.2 (C-2_C), 68.2 (C-6_E), 67.8 (C-5_C), 67.4 (C-5_D),$ 62.7 (C-6_D), 59.6 (C-2_D), 57.6 (OCH₃), 41.5 (CH₂Cl), 29.5 $(C(CH_3)_2)$, 27.3 $(C(O)CH_3)$, 19.7 $(C(CH_3)_2)$, 18.6 $(C-6_C)$; FAB-MS for $C_{61}H_{70}CINO_{17}$ (M, 1123.4) m/z 1146.5 [M+Na]⁺. Anal. calcd for $C_{61}H_{70}CINO_{17}$: C, 65.15; H, 6.27; N, 1.25%. Found: C, 65.13; H, 6.23; N, 1.22%.

4.1.16. Methyl $(2,3,4,6$ -tetra- O -benzyl- α -D-glucopyranosyl)-(1-4)-(2-O-benzoyl- α -L-rhamnopyranosyl)-(1-3)-2-acetamido-2-deoxy-4,6-O-isopropylidene-b-D-glucopyranoside (25). To a solution of the fully protected 24 (1.40 g, 1.25 mmol) in a mixture of methanol (18 mL) and pyridine (18 mL) was added thiourea (951 mg, 12.5 mmol). The mixture was stirred at 65° C for 5 h, at which time TLC (solvent C, 4:1) showed that no starting material remained. Evaporation of the volatiles and co-evaporation of petroleum ether from the residue resulted in a crude solid, which was taken up in a minimum of methanol. A large excess of CH_2Cl_2 was added and the mixture was left to stand at 0° C for 1 h. The precipitate was filtrated on a pad of Celite and the filtrate was concentrated. Column chromatography of the residue (solvent C, 4:1) gave the trisaccharide acceptor 25 (1.28 g, 97%) as a white powder. $[\alpha]_D$ +33.5 (c 1.0); ¹H NMR δ 8.10–6.96 (m, 25H, Ph), 6.09 (d, 1H, $J_{NH,2}$ =7.9 Hz, NH_D), 5.26 (dd, 1H, $J_{1,2}$ =1.6 Hz, $J_{2,3}$ =3.4 Hz, H-2_C), 4.97 (m, 3H, H-1_C, 1_E, OCH₂), 4.86 (m, 3H, H-1_D, OCH₂), 4.81 (d, 1H, OCH₂), 4.72 (d, 1H, OCH₂), 4.58 (d, 1H, $J=12.2$ Hz, OCH₂), 4.51 $(d, 1H, J=10.9 \text{ Hz}, \text{OCH}_2)$, 4.48 $(d, 1H, J=12.2 \text{ Hz}, \text{OCH}_2)$, 4.23 (pt, 1H, $J_{2,3} = J_{3,4} = 9.4$ Hz, H-3_D), 4.18–4.10 (m, 2H, H-5_C, 5_E), 4.06-3.95 (m, 3H, H-3_C, 3_E, 6a_D), 3.80 (pt, 1H, $J_{5,6b} = J_{6a,6b} = 10.4$ Hz, H-6b_D), 3.66 (m, 2H, H-6a_E, 6b_E), 3.62 (dd, 1H, $J_{2,3}$ =9.8 Hz, $J_{1,2}$ =4.1 Hz, H-2_E), 3.59 (pt, 1H, $J_{3,4} = J_{4,5} = 8.9$ Hz, H-4_E), 3.55 (pt, 1H, $J_{3,4} = J_{4,5} = 9.2$ Hz, H-4_D), 3.51 (pt, 1H, $J_3 = J_4 = 9.3$ Hz, H-4_C), 3.49 (s, 3H, OCH3), 2.22 (s, 3H, C(O)CH3), 1.90 (brs, 1H, OH), 1.49 (s, 3H, CMe₂), 1.43 (s, 3H, CMe₂), 1.40 (s, 3H, $J_{5.6}$ =6.2 Hz, H-6_C); ¹³C NMR δ 171.8, 166.6 (2C, C=O), 138.9–128.1 (Ph), 101.6 (C-1_D), 99.8 (C(CH₃)₂), 98.6 (C-1^E), 98.3 $(C-1_C^*)$, 85.4 $(C-4_C)$, 82.0 $(C-3_E)$, 80.4 $(C-2_E)$, 78.2 $(C-4_E)$, 77.1 (C-3_D), 75.9, 75.5, 74.2, 73.9 (4C, OCH₂), 73.6 (C-4_D²), 73.5 (C-2^{*}c), 71.7 (C-5_E), 69.0 (C-6_E), 68.3 (C-3_C), 67.5

 $(C-5_D)$, 66.9 $(C-5_C)$, 62.7 $(C-6_D)$, 58.9 $(C-2_D)$, 57.5 (OCH_3) , 29.5 (C(CH₃)₂), 24.0 (C(O)CH₃), 19.7 (C(CH₃)₂), 18.2 (C-6_C); FAB-MS for C₅₉H₆₉NO₁₆ (M, 1047.5) m/z 1070.4 [M+Na]⁺. Anal. calcd for $C_{70}H_{76}O_{16}$: C, 67.61; H, 6.64; N, 1.34%. Found: C, 67.46; H, 6.78; N, 1.24%.

4.1.17. Methyl (3,4-di-O-benzyl-2-O-chloroacetyl-a-Lrhamnopyranosyl)- $(1\rightarrow 3)$ -[2,3,4,6-tetra-O-benzyl- α -Dglucopyranosyl- $(1\rightarrow 4)$]- $(2-O$ -benzoyl- α -L-rhamnopyranosyl)- $(1\rightarrow 3)$ -2-acetamido-2-deoxy-4,6-O-isopropylidene-β-D-glucopyranoside (29). (a) The trisaccharide acceptor 25 (615 mg, 0.58 mmol) was dissolved in Et₂O (10 mL) and the solution was cooled to -60 °C. TMSOTf $(32 \mu L)$ and donor 20 (497 mg, 0.88 mmol) in Et₂O (12 mL) were added, and the mixture was stirred for 1 h while the bath was slowly coming back to -20 °C. The mixture was stirred for 4 h at this temperature, then at 0° C overnight. More 20 (50 mg, 88 μ mol) was added, and the mixture was stirred at rt for 3 h more at 0° C. Et₃N was added, and the mixture was concentrated. Column chromatography of the residue (solvent B, $9:1 \rightarrow 1:1$) gave the orthoester 35 (44 mg, 5%) then the fully protected 29 (445 mg, 52%) contaminated with the trimethylsilyl side product 26 (29/26: 9:1) together with a mixture of 29 and 35 (65 mg, 8%), and the starting 25 (27 mg, 4%). An analytical sample of compound **29** had $[\alpha]_D$ +17.9 (c 1.0); ¹H NMR δ 8.07 – 7.12 (m, 35H, Ph), 5.96 (d, 1H, $J_{\text{NH-2}}$ =7.9 Hz, NH), 5.82 (m, 1H, H-2_B), 5.33 (dd, 1H, $J_{1,2}$ =1.8 Hz, $J_{2,3}$ =3.2 Hz, H-2_C), 5.07 (d, 1H, $J_{1,2}$ =3.2 Hz, H-1_E), 5.05 (d, 1H, $J_{1,2}$ =1.7 Hz, H-1_B), 4.98 (d, 1H, OCH₂), 4.97 (brs, 1H, H-1_C), 4.91–4.78 (m, 5H, $H-1_D$, OCH₂), 4.64 (d, 1H, J=11.6 Hz, OCH₂), 4.60–4.45 $(m, 5H, OCH₂)$, 4.36 (d, 1H, J=11.9 Hz, OCH₂), 4.26 (pt, 1H, $J_{2,3} = J_{3,4} = 9.5$ Hz, H-3_D), 4.17 (dd, 1H, $J_{2,3} = 3.4$ Hz, H-3_C), 4.16 (d, 1H, J=15.1 Hz, CH₂Cl), 4.11 (d, 1H, CH₂Cl), 4.10 (dq, 1H, $J_{4.5}$ =9.1 Hz, $J_{5.6}$ =6.3 Hz, H-5_C), 4.06 (m, 1H, H-5_E), 4.00 (pt, 1H, $J_{3.4} = J_{2.3} = 9.4$ Hz, H-3_E), 3.97 (dd, 1H, $J_{5.6a} = 5.3$ Hz, $J_{6a,6b} = 10.8$ Hz, H-6a_D), 3.89 (m, 1H, H-6a_E), 3.88–3.68 (m, 4H, H-6b_E, 6b_D, 4_C, 3_B), 3.67 (m, 1H, H-5_B), 3.58 (pt, 1H, $J_{3,4} = J_{4,5} = 9.4$ Hz, H-4_D), 3.52 (dd, 1H, $J_{1,2}$ =3.3 Hz, $J_{2,3}$ =9.8 Hz, H-2_E), 3.49 (s, 3H, OCH₃), 3.39 (m, 1H, H-5_D), 3.30 (m, 2H, H-2_D, 4_B), 2.12 (s, 3H, C(O)CH3), 1.52 (s, 3H, C(CH3)2), 1.42 (s, 3H, $C(CH_3)_2$, 1.33, 0.96 (2d, 6H, $J_{5,6}$ =6.2 Hz, H-6_B, 6_C); ¹³C NMR δ 171.9, 167.0, 166.3 (3C, C=O), 138.8–128.0 (Ph), 101.4 (C-1_D, J_{CH} =164 Hz), 99.9 (C(CH₃)₂), 99.3 (C-1_C, $J_{\text{CH}}=168 \text{ Hz}$), 98.3 (C-1_E, $J_{\text{CH}}=168 \text{ Hz}$), 97.9 (C-1_B, J_{CH} =171 Hz), 82.1 (C-3_E), 81.8 (C-2_E), 80.4 (brs, C-3_B), 80.0 (C-4_C), 78.8 (brs, C-4^{*}E), 78.3 (C-4^{*}E), 77.7 (C-3^{*}_C), 76.9 $(C-3_D)$, 75.9, 75.5, 75.3, 74.3 (4C, OCH₂), 73.4 (C-4_D), 73.2 $(CCH₂), 72.7 (C-2_B), 72.1 (C-5_E), 69.1 (C-5_C), 67.7 (C-5_D[*]),$ $67.6 \text{ (C-5g)}, 62.7 \text{ (C-6p)}, 59.1 \text{ (C-2p)}, 57.5 \text{ (OCH}_3), 41.4$ (CH_2Cl) , 29.5 (C(CH₃)₂), 24.0 (C(O)CH₃), 19.7 (C(CH₃)₂), 18.8, 18.2 (2C, C-6_B, 6_C); FAB-MS for $C_{81}H_{92}NClO_{21}$ (M, 1449.5) m/z 1472.7 $[M+Na]^+$. Anal. calcd for $C_{81}H_{92}NClO_{21}$: C, 67.05; H, 6.39; N, 0.97%. Found: C, 66.21; H, 6.46; N, 1.01%.

Compound 35 had $\lbrack \alpha \rbrack_{D} + 26.7$ (c 0.8); ¹H NMR δ 8.07 – 7.15 (m, 35H, Ph), 5.47 (d, 1H, $J_{NH,2}$ =7.4 Hz, NH_D), 5.45 (brs, 1H, H-2_C), 5.42 (d, 1H, $J_{1,2}$ =2.3 Hz, H-1_B), 5.24 (d, 1H, $J_{1.2}$ =3.4 Hz, H-1_E), 4.94 (d, 1H, $J_{1.2}$ =8.2 Hz, H-1_D), 4.91– 4.82 (m, 7H, H-1_C, OCH₂), 4.80 (d, 1H, J=11.0 Hz, OCH₂), 4.75 (d, 1H, $J=11.6$ Hz, OCH₂), 4.68 (dd, 1H, $J_{1,2}=2.4$ Hz, $J_{2,3}$ =4.0 Hz, H-2_B), 4.65–4.47 (m, 4H, OCH₂), 4.44–4.32 $(m, 4H, H-5_E, 3_D, 3_C, OCH_2), 4.15$ $(m, 1H, H-5_C), 4.05$ (pt, 1H, $J_{2,3} = J_{3,4} = 9.5$ Hz, H-3_E), 4.03 (pt, 1H, $J_{3,4} = J_{4,5} = 9.4$ Hz, H-4_C), 3.94 (dd, 1H, $J_{5.6a} = 5.3$ Hz, $J_{6a,6b}$ =10.7 Hz, H-6a_D), 3.83–3.75 (m, 4H, H-6a_E, 6b_D, CH₂Cl), 3.74–3.70 (m, 3H, H-4_E, 6_E, 3_B), 3.65 (dd, 1H, $J_{1,2}$ =3.4 Hz, $J_{2,3}$ =9.4 Hz, H-2_E), 3.48 (pt, 2H, H-4_B, 4_D), 3.46 (s, 3H, OCH₃), 3.38 (m, 1H, H-5_D), 3.22 (dq, 1H, $J_{4.5}$ =9.5 Hz, $J_{5.6}$ =6.2 Hz, H-5_B), 2.88 (m, 1H, H-2_D), 1.90 $(s, 3H, C(O)CH_3)$, 1.42 $(s, 3H, C(CH_3)$, 1.36 $(s, 6H,$ $C(CH_3)_2$, H-6_C), 1.30 (d, 3H, $J_{5,6}$ =6.3 Hz, H-6_B); ¹³C NMR δ 171.8, 166.4 (2C, C=O), 139.1–122.5 (Ph), 101.0 (C-1_D, $J_{\text{CH}}=165 \text{ Hz}$), 99.7 (C(CH₃)₂), 98.3 (C-1_C, $J_{\text{CH}}=172 \text{ Hz}$), 97.8 (brs, C-1_E, J_{CH} =170 Hz), 97.5 (C-1_B, J_{CH} =176 Hz), 82.2 (C-3_E), 80.7 (C-2_E), 79.3 (brs, C-4_B), 78.8 (C-3_B), 78.1 (brs, C-4_E), 77.3 (C-2_B), 76.2 (brs, C-3_C), 75.8, 75.6, 74.9, 74.6, 73.9 (6C, C-4_C, OCH₂), 73.5 (2C, C-4_D, 2_C), 71.4 $(OCH₂), 71.0 (C-3_D), 70.7 (2C, C-5_E, 5_B), 69.0 (C-5_C), 68.8$ $(C-6_E)$, 67.2 $(C-5_D)$, 62.5 $(C-6_D)$, 60.0 $(C-2_D)$, 57.6 (OCH_3) , 46.9 (CH₂Cl), 29.5 (C(CH₃)₂), 23.9 (C(O)CH₃), 19.7 $(C(CH₃)₂), 19.0 (C-6_B), 18.4 (C-6_C); FAB-MS for$ $C_{81}H_{92}NClO_{21}$ (M, 1449.5) m/z 1472.7 [M+Na]⁺. Anal. calcd for $C_{81}H_{92}NClO_{21}\cdot H_{2}O$: C, 66.23; H, 6.34; N, 0.96%. Found: C, 66.11; H, 6.62; N, 0.85%.

4.1.18. Methyl (2-O-acetyl-3,4-di-O-benzyl-a-L-rhamnopyranosyl)-(1 \rightarrow 3)-[2,3,4,6-tetra- O -benzyl- α -D-gluco $pyranosyl-(1\rightarrow 4)]-(2-O-benzoyl-\alpha-L-rhamnopy ranosyl) (1\rightarrow 3)$ -2-acetamido-2-deoxy-4,6-O-isopropylidene- β -Dglucopyranoside (30). The trisaccharide acceptor 25 $(500 \text{ mg}, 0.47 \text{ mmol})$ was dissolved in CH₂Cl₂ (5 mL) and the solution was cooled to -40 °C. TMSOTf (21 μ L) and donor 5 (328 mg, 0.62 mmol) were added and the mixture was left under stirring while the bath was slowly coming back to rt. After 5 h, more $5(50 \text{ mg}, 94 \text{ µmol})$ was added and the mixture was stirred at rt for 1 h more. Et_3N was added and the mixture was concentrated. Column chromatography of the residue (solvent B, $4:1 \rightarrow 1:1$) gave the fully protected 30 (484 mg, 72%) slightly contaminated with the corresponding trimethylsilyl side product 26. The 30/26 ratio was estimated to be 85:15 from the ¹H NMR spectrum. Eluting next was some residual starting 25 (45 mg, 9%). Thus, based on the consumed acceptor, the estimated yield of contaminated 30 was 79%. An analytical sample of 30 had $[\alpha]_D$ +15.9 (c 0.8); ¹H NMR δ 8.09–7.14 (m, 35H, Ph), 6.04 (brs, 1H, NH_D), 5.76 (m, 1H, H-2_B), 5.37 (dd, 1H, $J_{1,2}$ =1.9 Hz, $J_{2,3}$ =2.8 Hz, H-2_C), 5.11 (d, 1H, $J_{1,2}$ =3.1 Hz, H-1_E), 5.06 (d, 1H, H-1_B), 4.96 (brs, 1H, H-1_C), 5.02–4.82 $(m, 7H, H-1_D, OCH_2), 4.69-4.37$ $(m, 6H, OCH_2), 4.28$ (pt, 1H, $J_{2,3} = J_{3,4} = 9.5$ Hz, H-3_D), 4.15 (dd, 1H, $J_{2,3} = 3.3$ Hz, $J_{3,4}$ =9.4 Hz, H-3_C), 4.13–3.93 (m, 5H, H-5_E, 6a_E, 3_E, 5_C, 6a_D), 3.87-3.76 (m, 5H, H-4_E, 6b_E, 3_B, 4_C, 6b_D), 3.68 (dq, 1H, $J_{4,5}$ =9.5 Hz, H-5_B), 3.57 (pt, 1H, $J_{3,4}$ = $J_{4,5}$ =9.4 Hz, H-4_D), 3.54 (dd, 1H, $J_{2,3}$ =3.2 Hz, H-2_E), 3.48 (s, 3H, OCH₃), 3.40 (m, 1H, H-5_D), 3.34 (pt, 1H, $J_{3.4}$ =9.7 Hz, $H-4_B$), 3.27 (m, 1H, H-2_D), 2.18, 2.13 (2s, 6H, C(O)CH₃), 1.51, 1.42 (2s, 6H, C(CH₃)₂), 1.33 (d, 3H, $J_{5.6} = 6.2$ Hz, H-6_C), 0.98 (d, 3H, $J_{5,6}$ =6.2 Hz, H-6_B); ¹³C NMR δ 171.9, 170.5, 166.3 (3C, C=O), 139.3–127.7 (Ph), 101.3 (C-1_D), 99.9 (C(CH₃)₂), 99.6 (C-1_B), 98.4 (C-1_E), 98.0 (C-1_C), 82.1 $(C-3_E)$, 81.8 $(C-2_E)$, 80.3 (2C, C-3_C, 4_B), 78.7 (brs, C-4_C), 78.2 (C-3^{*}_B), 77.7 (C-4^{*}_E), 76.9 (brs, C-3^{*}_D), 75.9, 75.4, 75.3, 74.3 (4C, OCH₂), 73.4 (C-4_D), 73.3 (OCH₂), 72.7 (C-2_C), 72.1 (C-5_E), 70.9 (OCH₂), 69.0 (3C, C-2_B, 5_B, 6_E), 67.8 $(C-5_C)$, 67.6 $(C-5_D)$, 62.7 $(C-6_D)$, 59.2 $(C-2_D)$, 57.5 $(OCH₃)$, 29.5 (C(CH3)2), 24.0, 21.6 (2C, C(O)CH3), 19.7 (C(CH3)2), 18.9 (C-6_C), 18.2 (C-6_B). FAB-MS for C₈₁H₉₃NO₂₁ (M, 1415) m/z 1438.6 $[M+Na]^{+}$.

4.1.19. Methyl $(3,4$ -di-O-benzyl- α -L-rhamnopyranosyl)- $(1\rightarrow3)$ -[2,3,4,6-tetra-O-benzyl- α -D-glucopyranosyl- $(1\rightarrow 4)$]- $(2-O$ -benzoyl- α -L-rhamnopyranosyl)- $(1\rightarrow 3)$ -2acetamido-2-deoxy-4,6-O-isopropylidene-b-D-glucopyranoside (31). (a) Thiourea (22 mg, 0.29 mmol) was added to the chloroacetylated 29 (83 mg, 57 μ mol) in MeOH/pyridine (1:1, 2.8 mL), and the mixture was heated overnight at 65 °C. Volatiles were evaporated, and the solid residue thus obtained was taken up in the minimum of MeOH. CH_2Cl_2 was added, and the suspension was left standing at 0° C for 1 h. The precipitate was filtered on a pad of Celite, and the filtrate was concentrated. Column chromatography of the residue (solvent B, $9:1 \rightarrow 1:1$) gave the tetrasaccharide acceptor 31 (74 mg, 94%).

(b) The monoacetylated 30 (52 mg, 37 μ mol) was dissolved in a mixture of EtOH (10 mL) and CH_2Cl_2 (100 μ L). A freshly prepared 0.4 M ethanolic solution of guanidine (92 μ L, 37 μ mol) was added and the mixture was stirred at rt overnight. Volatiles were evaporated, and the residue taken up in CH_2Cl_2 was washed with water. The organic phase was dried and concentrated. Column chromatography of the crude product gave 31 (42 mg, 83%) as a glassy solid. Compound 31 had $\alpha]_D + 27.3$ (c 1.0); ¹H NMR δ 8.24–6.88 (m, 35H, Ph), 5.90 (brs, 1H, NH_D), 5.29 (brs, 1H, H-2_C), 5.14 (d, 1H, $J_{1,2}$ =3.0 Hz, H-1_E), 5.06 (d, 1H, $J_{1,2}$ =1.6 Hz, H-1_B), 5.00–4.95 (m, 3H, H-1_D, 1_C, OCH₂), 4.88–4.46 (m, 9H, OCH₂), 4.31 (pt, 1H, $J_{2,3} = J_{3,4} = 9.4$ Hz, H-3_D), 4.24 (brs, 1H, H-2_B), 4.14–3.08 (m, 3H, H-3_C, 5_C, 5_E), 4.02 (pt, 1H, $J_{2,3} = J_{3,4} = 9.3$ Hz, H-3_E), 3.97 (dd, 1H, $J_{5,6a} = 5.2$ Hz, $J_{6a,6b}$ =10.7 Hz, H-6a_D), 3.80 (m, 2H, H-4_C, 6b_D), 3.71 (m, 2H, H-6a_E, 6b_E), 3.66 (pt, 1H, $J_{4,5}$ =9.5 Hz, H-4_E), 3.61– 3.55 (m, 4H, H-3_B, 2_E, 5_B, 4_D), 3.50 (s, 3H, OCH₃), 3.42– 3.36 (m, 2H, H-5_D, 4_B), 3.20 (m, 1H, H-2_D), 2.85 (brs, 1H, OH), 2.10 (s, 3H, C(O)CH₃), 1.51, 1.41 (2s, 6H, C(CH₃)₂), 1.33 (d, 3H, $J_{5,6}$ =6.2 Hz, H-6_C), 1.15 (s, 3H, $J_{5,6}$ =6.2 Hz, H-6_B); ¹³C NMR δ 171.7, 166.3 (2C, C=O), 139.0–127.8 (Ph), 103.1 (C-1_B), 101.2 (C-1_D), 99.8 (C(CH₃)₂), 98.2, 98.1 $(2C, C-1_E, 1_C), 82.0 (C-3_E), 81.5 (C-3_B), 80.6 (C-4_B), 79.4$ $(C-2_E^*)$, 79.1 (2C, C-4_C, 3_C), 78.2 (C-4_B), 76.8 (C-3_D), 76.0, 75.5, 74.5, 74.2 (4C, OCH₂), 73.9 (C-2_C), 73.7 (OCH₂), 73.5 $(C-4_D)$, 72.1 (OCH₂), 71.6 (C-5_E), 69.0 (C-6_E), 68.7 (2C, C-2_B, 5_B), 67.9 (C-5_C), 67.5 (C-5_D), 62.7 (C-6_D), 59.4 $(C-2_D)$, 57.5 (OCH₃), 29.5 (C(CH₃)₂), 24.0 (C(O)CH₃), 19.7 $(C(CH_3)_2)$, 19.0 $(C-6_C)$, 18.3 $(C-6_B)$; FAB-MS for $C_{79}H_{91}NO_{20}$ (M, 1373) m/z 1396.5 [M+Na]⁺. Anal. calcd for $C_{79}H_{91}NO_{20}O.5H_2O$: C, 68.56; H, 6.65; N, 1.01%. Found: C, 68.53; H, 6.71; N, 1.01%.

4.1.20. Methyl $(2-O$ -acetyl-3,4-di- O -benzyl- α -L-rhamnopyranosyl)- $(1\rightarrow 2)$ - $(3,4$ -di-O-benzyl- α -L-rhamnopyrano syl)-(1 \rightarrow 3)-[2,3,4,6-tetra-O-benzyl- α -D-glucopyranosyl- $(1\rightarrow 4)$]- $(2\cdot 0\cdot \text{benzoyl-}\alpha\cdot \text{L-}r$ hamnopyranosyl)- $(1\rightarrow 3)$ -2acetamido-2-deoxy-4,6-O-isopropylidene-b-D-gluco**pyranoside** (32). Activated 4 Å molecular sieves and TMSOTf $(16 \mu L)$ were added to a solution of the tetrasaccharide acceptor 31 (406 mg, 0.29 mmol) in $Et₂O$ (10 mL), and the mixture was stirred at -60 °C for 30 min. The donor 5 (234 mg, 0.44 mmol) in CH_2Cl_2 (7 mL) was added, and the mixture was stirred for 1 h while the bath temperature was reaching rt. After a further 1 h at this temperature, more $5(50 \text{ mg}, 94 \text{ µmol})$ was added, and the mixture was stirred for 1 h before Et_3N was added. Filtration through a pad of Celite and evaporation of the volatiles gave a residue which was column chromatographed twice (solvent B, 4:1; then solvent A, 17:3) to give 32 (262 mg, 52%) as a white powder. $[\alpha]_D$ +25.9 (c 1.0); ¹H NMR δ 8.07–7.13 (m, 45H, Ph), 6.03 (brs, 1H, NH_D), 5.59 (brs, 1H, $H-2_A$), 5.35 (brs, 1H, $H-2_C$), 5.16 (brs, 1H, $H-1_E$), 5.13 (brs, 1H, H-1_A), 5.06 (brs, 1H, H-1_B), 5.02–4.97 (m, 4H, H-1_D, 1_c , OCH₂), 4.91–4.50 (m, 12H, OCH₂), 4.44–4.32 (m, 4H, $H-2_B$, 3_D, OCH₂), 4.20–3.96 (m, 7H, H-5_E, 5_A, 3_C, 3_E, 6a_D, 5_c , 3_A), 3.87–3.68 (m, 6H, H-4_E, 6a_E, 6b_E, 6b_D, 4_C, 3_B), $3.64-3.47$ (m, 7H, H-5_B, 4_D, 2_E, 4_A, OCH₃), 3.42 (m, 1H, H-5_D), 3.34 (pt, 1H, $J_{3,4} = J_{4,5} = 9.3$ Hz, H-4_B), 3.17 (m, 1H, H-2_D), 2.13 (s, 3H, C(O)CH₃), 1.49 (s, 3H, C(CH₃)₂), 1.43 (s, 6H, C(CH₃)₂, H-6_C), 1.33 (d, 3H, $J_{5,6}$ =6.1 Hz, H-6_A), 1.01 (d, 3H, $J_{5.6}$ =5.8 Hz, H-6_B); ¹³C NMR δ 171.9, 170.3, 166.3 (3C, C=O), 139.2-127.6 (Ph), 101.5 (brs, C-1_B, J_{CH} =171 Hz), 101.2 (C-1_D, J_{CH} =163 Hz), 99.8 (C(CH₃)₂), 99.7 (C-1_A, J_{CH} =171 Hz), 97.9 (2C, C-1_E, 1_C, J_{CH} =172, 169 Hz), 82.4 (C-3_E), 82.1 (C-2_E), 80.5 (C-4_A), 80.2 (brs, C-3_C), 80.1 (C-4_B), 79.4, 78.1, 78.0 (4C, C-3_B, 4_E, 3_A, 4_C), 76.6 (brs, C-3_D), 75.9, 75.8, 75.4 (3C, OCH₂), 74.8 (2C, C-2_B, OCH₂), 73.5 (C-4_D), 73.4 (OCH₂), 73.2 (C-2_C), 72.1 (OCH₂), 71.8 (C-5_A), 71.2 (OCH₂), 69.4 (C-2_A), 69.2 $(C-5_B)$, 68.9 $(C-6_E)$, 68.7 $(C-5_C)$, 67.8 $(C-5_E)$, 67.5 $(C-5_D)$, 62.7 (C-6_D), 59.6 (brs, C-2_D), 57.6 (OCH₃), 29.5 (C(CH₃)₂), 24.0, 21.4 (2C, C(O)CH₃), 19.7 (C(CH₃)₂), 19.1 (C-6_A), 18.8 (C-6_C), 18.2 (C-6_B); FAB-MS for C₁₀₁H₁₁₅NO₂₅ (M, 1741.7) m/z 1765.9 $[M+Na]^{+}$. Anal. calcd for $C_{101}H_{115}NO_{25}$: C, 69.60; H, 6.65; N, 0.80%. Found: C, 69.56; H, 6.75; N, 0.73%.

4.1.21. Methyl α -L-rhamnopyranosyl- $(1\rightarrow 2)$ - α -L-rhamnopyranosyl- $(1\rightarrow 3)$ -[α -D-glucopyranosyl- $(1\rightarrow 4)$]- α -Lrhamnopyranosyl- $(1\rightarrow 3)$ -2-acetamido-2-deoxy- β -Dglucopyranoside (2). 50% aq. TFA (1 mL) was added at 0° C to a solution of the fully protected pentasaccharide 32 (155 mg, 89 μ mol) dissolved in CH₂Cl₂ (4 mL) After 1 h at this temperature, volatiles were evaporated. The residue, containing diol 33, was taken up in 0.5% methanolic sodium methoxide (8 mL) and the mixture was heated overnight at 55 °C. Neutralisation with Dowex X8 $(H⁺)$, evaporation of the volatiles and column chromatography of the residue gave 34 (121 mg, 98%). Compound 34 (111 mg, 81 μ mol) was dissolved in a mixture of ethanol (13 mL) and ethyl acetate (2.6 mL) containing 1 N aq. HCl $(130 \mu L)$. Palladium on charcoal (130 mg) was added, and the suspension was stirred under a hydrogen atmosphere for 2 h. Filtration of the catalyst and reverse phase chromatography gave the target pentasaccharide (60 mg, 88%) as a slightly yellow foam. RP-HPLC purification followed by freeze-drying gave pure 2 (36 mg). Compound 2 had rt: 9.63 min (solvent F, 100:0 \rightarrow 80:20 over 20 min); [α]_D -18.6 (c 1.0, methanol); ¹H NMR δ 5.13 (d, 1H, $J_{1,2}$ =3.7 Hz, H-1_E), 4.98 (brs, 1H, H-1_B), 4.90 (d, 1H, $J_{1,2}$ =1.4 Hz, H-1_A), 4.72 (d, 1H, $J_{1,2}$ =1.4 Hz, H-1_C), 4.39 (d, 1H, $J_{1,2}$ =8.6 Hz, H-1_D), 4.09 (dq, 1H, $J_{4,5}$ =9.2 Hz, H-5_C), 4.00 (m, 2H, H-2_B, 2_A), 3.94–3.79 (m, 7H, H-5_E, 2_C,

 3_C , 6a_E, 6a_D, 2_D, 3_A), 3.76–3.65 (m, 7H, H-4_C, 3_E, 6b_E, 6b_D, 5_A , 5_B , 3_B), 3.52 (pt, 1H, $J_{3,4}$ =8.8 Hz, H- 3_D), 3.49–3.33 (m, 9H, H-4_D, 2_E, 4_A, 4_B, 5_D, 4_E, OCH₃), 1.98 (s, 3H, C(O)CH₃), 1.27 (d, 3H, $J_{5.6}$ =6.3 Hz, H-6_C), 1.24, 1.23 (d, 6H, H-6_A, (6_B) ; ¹³C NMR δ 172.3 (C=O), 100.7 (C-1_A, J_{CH}=171 Hz), 99.6 (2C, C-1_D, 1_B, $J_{CH} = 163$, 170 Hz), 99.2 (C-1_C, J_{CH} =170 Hz), 95.7 (brs, C-1_E, J_{CH} =170 Hz), 82.0 (C-3_D), 79.1 (C-2_B), 79.4 (brs, C-3_C), 76.4 (C-5_D), 75.4 (brs, C-4_C), 73.0 (C-3_E), 72.4 (2C, C-4_A, 4_B), 72.2 (C-5_E), 71.7 (C-2_E), 71.1 (C-2_C), 70.4, 70.1, 70.0 (4C, C-2_A, 3_A, 3_B, 4_E), 69.7, 69.6, 69.3 (3C, C-5_A, 5_B, 5_C), 68.8 (C-4_D), 61.2, 61.0 (2C, C -6_D, 6_E), 57.4 (OCH₃), 55.4 (C-2_D), 22.6 (C(O)CH₃), 18.2 $(C-6_C), 17.2, 17.0 (2C, C-6_A, 6_B); HRMS (MALDI) calcd$ for $C_{33}H_{57}NO_{23}$ +Na: 858.3219. Found: 858.3089.

4.1.22. Methyl $(2-O$ -acetyl-3,4-di- O -benzyl- α -L-rhamnopyranosyl)- $(1\rightarrow 3)$ -[2,3,4,6-tetra-O-benzyl- α -D-gluco $pyranosyl-(1\rightarrow 4)$]-(2-O-benzoyl- α -L-rhamnopyranosyl)- $(1\rightarrow 3)$ -2-acetamido-2-deoxy- β -D-glucopyranoside (36). 50% aq. TFA (400 μ L) was added to a solution of the fully protected tetrasaccharide 30 (57 mg, 40 μ mol) in CH_2Cl_2 (1 mL) at 0 °C, and the mixture was stirred overnight at this temperature. Volatiles were evaporated and the residue was purified by column chromatography (solvent B, 1:1) to give diol 36 (47 mg, 85%). $[\alpha]_D + 19.5$ (c 0.9); ¹H NMR δ 8.10-7.16 (m, 35H, Ph), 5.80 (d, 1H, $J=8.8$ Hz, NH_D), 5.66 (m, 1H, H-2_B), 5.39 (pt, 1H, $J_{1,2}$ =2.8 Hz, H-2_C), 5.01 (m, 2H, H-1_B, 1_E), 4.96 (m, 2H, H-1_C, OCH₂), 4.90-4.81 (m, 5H, H-1_D, OCH₂), 4.66-4.41 (m, 7H, OCH₂), 4.18 (dd, 1H, $J_{2,3}$ =2.9 Hz, $J_{3,4}$ =7.4 Hz, H-3_C), 4.10 (pt, 1H, H-3_D), 4.08–3.95 (m, 5H, H-5_E, 3_E, 5_C), 3.89–3.64 (m, 8H, H-6a_D, 6b_D, 6a_E, 6b_E, 3_B, 4_C, 4_E, 5_B), 3.54–3.49 (m, 5H, H-2_E, 4_D, OCH₃), 3.45 (m, 1H, H-5_D), 3.33 (pt, 1H, $J_{3.4} = J_{4.5} = 9.4$ Hz, H-4_B), 3.27 (m, 1H, $H-2_D$), 2.26 (brs, 1H, OH), 2.17 (s, 6H, C(O)CH₃), 1.99 (brs, 1H, OH), 1.39 (d, 3H, $J_{5.6}$ =6.2 Hz, H-6_C), 0.95 (d, 3H, $J_{5.6}$ =6.1 Hz, H-6_B); ¹³C NMR δ 171.5, 170.4, 166.1 (3C, $C = 0$, 139.1–127.8 (Ph), 100.9 (C-1_D), 99.7 (2C, C-1^B) 1_C), 99.2 (brs, C-1_E), 85.0 (C-3_D), 82.1 (C-3_E), 81.3 (brs, C-3_E), 80.1 (C-4_B), 78.0, 77.8 (4C, C-3_C, 4_C, 3_B, 4_E), 76.0 $(OCH₂), 75.6 (C-5_D), 75.3, 75.2, 74.4, 73.4 (4C, OCH₂),$ 72.3 (C-2_C), 72.1 (C-5^{*}c), 71.3 (C-4_D), 71.2 (OCH₂), 69.2 $(C-5_B)$, 69.0 $(C-5_E, 2_B)$, 68.4 $(C-6_E)$, 63.2 $(C-6_D)$, 57.4 (2C, C-2_D, OCH₃), 23.9, 21.0 (2C, C(O)CH₃), 19.1 (C-6_C), 18.0 (C-6_B). FAB-MS for C₇₈H₈₉NO₂₁ (M, 1375.6) m/z 1398.6 $[M+Na]^+$. Anal. calcd for C₇₈H₈₉NO₂₁: C, 68.06; H, 6.52; N, 1.02%. Found: C, 68.10; H, 6.62; N, 0.98%.

4.1.23. Methyl α -L-rhamnopyranosyl- $(1\rightarrow 3)$ -[α -D-gluco $pyranosyl-(1\rightarrow4)$]- α -L-rhamnopyranosyl- $(1\rightarrow3)$ -2-acetamido-2-deoxy- β -D-glucopyranoside (3). 1% Methanolic sodium methoxide (255 μ L) was added to a suspension of diol 36 (68 mg, 49 μ mol) in MeOH (2 mL) and the mixture was heated overnight at 55° C TLC (solvent A, 19:1) showed that the starting material had been converted to a more polar product. Neutralisation with Dowex X8 $(H⁺)$, evaporation of the volatiles, and column chromatography (solvent A, 24:1) gave tetraol 37 (52 mg, 85%). The latter $(48 \text{ mg}, 39 \text{ µmol})$ was dissolved in a mixture of ethanol (5 mL) and ethyl acetate (2 mL) containing 1 N aq. HCl $(50 \mu L)$. Palladium on charcoal (50 mg) was added and the suspension was stirred under a hydrogen atmosphere overnight. TLC (solvent E, 4:1:2) showed the presence of

a single product. Filtration of the catalyst and reverse phase chromatography, followed by RP-HPLC purification and freeze-drying gave pure 3 (19 mg, 71%). Rt: 9.35 min (solvent F, 100:0 \rightarrow 80:20 over 20 min); $[\alpha]_D$ +12.5 (c 0.8, methanol); ¹H NMR δ 5.09 (d, 1H, $J_{1,2}$ =3.7 Hz, H-1_E), 4.89 (brs, 1H, H-1_B), 4.71 (d, 1H, $J_{1,2}=1.1$ Hz, H-1_C), 4.39 (d, 1H, $J_{1,2}$ =8.6 Hz, H-1_D), 4.08 (dq, 1H, $J_{4,5}$ =9.3 Hz, H-5_C), 3.96 (dd, 1H, $J_{1,2}$ =1.4 Hz, $J_{2,3}$ =3.2 Hz, H-2_B), 3.88–3.80 $(m, 4H, H-2_C, 3_C, 6a_E, 6b_E, 5_D), 3.77-3.62$ $(m, 6H, H-6a_D,$ 6b_D, 3_B, 5_B, 2_D, 4_C), 3.59 (pt, 1H, $J_{3,4} = J_{4,5} = 9.4$ Hz, H-3_E), 3.50 (pt, 1H, $J_{3,4} = J_{4,5} = 9.4$ Hz, H-3_E), 3.50 (pt, 1H, $J_{3,4} = J_{4,5} = 8.7$ Hz, H-3_D), 3.47–3.34 (m, 8H, H-2_E, 4_E, 4_B, 4D, 5E, OCH3), 1.98 (s, 3H, C(O)CH3), 1.27 (d, 3H, $J_{5,6}$ =6.3 Hz, H-6_C), 1.21 (d, 3H, $J_{5,6}$ =6.3 Hz, H-6_B); ¹³C NMR δ 174.5 (C=O), 103.2 (brs, C-1_B, J_{CH} =172 Hz), 101.8 (C-1_D, J_{CH} =160 Hz), 101.5 (C-1_C, J_{CH} =170 Hz), 98.0 (C-1_E, J_{CH} =170 Hz), 82.2 (C-3_D), 79.1 (brs, C-3_C), 76.6 (brs, C-4_C), 76.4 (C-4_B), 72.9 (C-3_E), 72.3, 72.2 (2C, C-4_D, 5_D), 71.87 (C-2_E), 71.1 (brs, C-2_C), 70.6 (2C, C-2_B, 3_B), 69.7, 69.6 (2C, C-5_E, 5_B), 69.2, 68.9 (2C, C-6_D, 6_E), 57.4 (OCH₃), 55.4 (C-2_D), 22.6 (C(O)CH₃), 18.0 (C-6_C), 17.0 (C-6_B). HRMS (MALDI) calcd for $C_{27}H_{47}NO_{19} + Na$: 712.2635. Found: 712.2635.

Acknowledgements

The authors thank the Institut Pasteur (Contract PTR 99) for financial support. The authors are grateful to J. Ughetto-Monfrin (Unité de Chimie Organique, Institut Pasteur) for recording all the NMR spectra.

References and notes

- 1. Part 11 of the series: Synthesis of ligands related to the O-specific polysaccharides of Shigella flexneri serotype 2a and Shigella flexneri serotype 5a. For part 10, see Ref. 4.
- 2. Ashkenazi, S.; Passwell, J. H.; Harlev, E.; Miron, D.; Dagan, R.; Farzan, N.; Ramon, R.; Majadly, F.; Bryla, D. A.; Karpas, A. B.; Robbins, J. B.; Schneerson, R. J. Infect. Dis. 1995, 179, 1565–1568.
- 3. Bélot, F.; Costachel, C.; Wright, K.; Phalipon, A.; Mulard, L. A. Tetrahedron Lett. 2002, 43, 8215–8218.
- 4. Bélot, F.; Wright, K.; Costachel, C.; Phalipon, A.; Mulard, L. A. *J. Org. Chem.* **2004**. in press.
- 5. Benaissa-Trouw, B.; Lefeber, D. J.; Kamerling, J. P.; Vliegenthart, J. F. G.; Kraaijeveld, K.; Snippe, H. Infect. Immun. 2001, 69, 4698–4701.
- 6. Berry, J. M.; Dutton, G. G. S. Can. J. Chem. 1974, 54, 681–683.
- 7. Castro-Palomino, J. C.; Rensoli, M. H.; Verez Bencomo, V. J. Carbohydr. Chem. 1996, 15, 137–146.
- 8. Castro-Palomino, J. C.; Schmidt, R. R. Tetrahedron Lett. 1995, 36, 5343–5346.
- 9. Costachel, C.; Sansonetti, P. J.; Mulard, L. A. J. Carbohydr. Chem. 2000, 19, 1131–1150.
- 10. Debenham, J. S.; Madsen, R.; Roberts, C.; Fraser-Reid, B. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1995, 117, 3302–3303.
- 11. Hale, T. L. Shigella vaccines. In Molecular and clinical aspects of bacterial vaccine development; Hormaeche, C. E., Ed.; Wiley: New York, 1995; pp 179–204.
- 12. Kotloff, K. L.; Winickoff, J. P.; Ivanoff, B.; Clemens, J. D.; Swerdlow, D. L.; Sansonetti, P. J.; Adak, G. K.; Levine, M. M. Bull. WHO 1999, 77, 651–666.
- 13. Kunesh, N.; Miet, C.; Poisson, J. Tetrahadron Lett. 1987, 28, 3569–3572.
- 14. Lay, L.; Manzoni, L.; Schmidt, R. R. Carbohydr. Res. 1998, 310, 157–171.
- 15. Lindberg, A. A.; Karnell, A.; Weintraub, A. Rev. Infect. Dis. 1991, 13, S279–S284.
- 16. Lipkind, G. M.; Shashkov, A. S.; Nikolaev, A. V.; Mamyan, S. S.; Kochetkov, N. K. Bioorg. Khim. 1987, 13, 1081–1092.
- 17. Lubineau, A.; Malleron, A. Tetrahedron Lett. 1985, 26, 1713–1716.
- 18. Mawas, F.; Niggemann, J.; Jones, C.; Corbet, M. J.; Kamerling, J. P.; Vliegenthart, J. F. G. Infect. Immun. 2002, 70, 5107–5114.
- 19. Mulard, L. A.; Costachel, C.; Sansonetti, P. J. J. Carbohydr. Chem. 2000, 19, 849–877.
- 20. Nashed, M. A.; Anderson, L. J. Chem. Soc. Chem. Commun. 1982, 1274–1282.
- 21. Oltvoort, J. J.; van Boeckel, C. A. A.; der Koning, J. H.; van Boom, J. Synthesis 1981, 305–308.
- 22. Passwell, J. H.; Harlev, E.; Ashkenazi, S.; Chu, C.; Miron, D.; Ramon, R.; Farzan, N.; Shiloach, J.; Bryla, D. A.; Majadly, F.; Roberson, R.; Robbins, J. B.; Schneerson, R. Infect. Immun. 2001, 69, 1351–1357.
- 23. Pozsgay, V. Synthesis of oligosaccharides related to plant, vertebrate, and bacterial cell-wall glycans. In Carbohydrates—synthetic methods and applications in medicinal chemistry; Ogura, H., Hasegawa, A., Suami, T., Eds.; Kodenska: Tokyo, 1992; pp 188–227.
- 24. Pozsgay, V. In Oligosaccharide-protein conjugates as vaccine candidates against bacteria. Advances in Carbohydrate Chemistry and Biochemistry; Horton, D., Ed.; Academic: San Diego, 2000; Vol. 56, pp 153–199.
- 25. Pozsgay, V.; Brisson, J.-R.; Jennings, H. J. Can. J. Chem. 1987, 65, 2764–2769.
- 26. Robbins, J. B.; Chu, C.; Schneerson, R. Clin. Infect. Dis. 1992, 15, 346–361.
- 27. Robbins, J. B.; Szu, S. C.; Schneerson, R. J. Infect. Dis. 1994, 171, 1387–1398.
- 28. Segat, F.; Mulard, L. A. Tetrahedron: Asymmetry 2002, 13, 2211–2222.
- 29. Simmons, D. A. R. Bacteriol. Rev. 1971, 35, 117–148.
- 30. Spijker, N. M.; Westerduin, P.; van Boeckel, C. A. A. Tetrahedron 1992, 48, 6297–6316.
- 31. Szurmai, Z.; Lipták, A.; Snatzke, G. Carbohydr. Res. 1990, 200, 201–208.
- 32. Varga, Z.; Bajza, I.; Batta, G.; Lipták, A. Tetrahedron Lett. 2001, 42, 5283–5286.
- 33. Westerduin, P.; der Haan, P. E.; Dees, M. J.; van Boom, J. H. Carbohydr. Res. 1988, 180, 195–205.